

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.33038 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-68 Year-2025 Thana- MANER District- Patna

Chandan Kumar S/o Arun Rai @ Arun Kumar Ray @ Arun Kumar Singh R/o
Village- Chitnawan, P.S.- Maner, District- Patna

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Ghanshyam Tiwary, Adv.
For the Opposite Party/s	:	Mr. Sharda Kumari, APP
For the Informant	:	Mr. Sanjay Kumar, Adv.

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE KHATIM REZA
ORAL ORDER

3 06-08-2025 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner, learned
A.P.P for the State and learned counsel for the informant.

2. The petitioner seeks bail in connection with
Maner P.S. Case No. 68 of 2025 dated 27.01.2025
registered for the offence punishable under Sections 103(1),
303(2), 61(2) read with Section 3(5) of the Bharatiya Nayay
Sanhita, 2023 and Section 27 of the Arms Act.

3. The allegation against the petitioner is that he
along with co-accused persons has committed murder of the
informant's brother.

4. Learned Counsel for the petitioner submits that
the petitioner is innocent and has been falsely implicated in



this case. It is submitted that co-accused Sonu Kumar was arrested and he has confessed his guilt which was recorded in paragraph no. 30 of the case diary which suggests that he and one Subodh Kumar had fired upon the deceased (Rajdeo Rai). It is submitted that neither there is any eye witness to the occurrence nor there is any material against him to connect with the said crime. It is also submitted that only on the basis of suspicion, the petitioner has been made accused in this case. It is further submitted that similarly situated persons, namely, Vikash Kumar and Arun Kumar have been granted anticipatory bail vide order dated 25.06.2025 passed in Criminal Miscellaneous No. 24441 of 2025 with Criminal Miscellaneous No. 37241 of 2025 respectively. Lastly, it has been submitted that the petitioner is in custody since 27.01.2025, having no criminal antecedent and charge-sheet has been submitted in the case.

5. Learned A.P.P for the State opposes the prayer for bail of the petitioner.

6. On the other hand, learned counsel for the informant vehemently opposed the prayer for bail of the petitioner and submitted that there is a specific allegation



against the petitioner in the F.I.R., that he conspired to kill the deceased.

7. Having considered the facts and circumstances of the case and submissions of learned counsel for the parties, let the petitioner be released on bail upon furnishing bail bonds of Rs. 10,000/- (ten thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned Judicial Magistrate-Ist Class, Danapur, Patna in connection with Maner P.S. Case No. 68 of 2025.

(Khatim Reza, J)

prabhat/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

