IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Criminal Writ Jurisdiction Case No.1070 of 2017

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-34 Year-2014 Thana- BEGUSARAI MUFFASIL District- Begusarai

==========

Rakesh Singh @ Rakesh Kumar Singh Son of Late Shri Umeshwar Prasad Singh, Resident of Nagarpalika Chowk, P.O.P.S.District- Begusari.

... ... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State Of Bihar and Ors
2. The Home Secretary, Govt. of Bihar, Patna.
3. The Director General of Police, Bihar, Patna.
4. The Inspector General of Police Law and Order, Patna.
5. The D.I.G., Munger.
6. The District Magistrate, Begusarai,
7. The Superintendent of Police, Begusarai,
8. The Sub-Divisional Officer, Begusarai.
9. The Sub-Divisional Police Officer, Begusarai.
10. The SHO, Muffasil, P.S. Begusarai.
11. The SHO, Lakho Out post, Muffasil Police Station, Begusarai.

... ... Respondent/s

==========

Appearance :
For the Petitioner/s : None
For the Respondent/s : Mr.G.A.

==========

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV ROY

ORAL ORDER

4 07-01-2025 No one appears on call on behalf of the petitioner though the State is present.

2. The present petition has been preferred against highly wrongful, callous and illegal conduct of the respondents local authorities of the Begusarai district administration who despite receiving complaint from the petitioner of conspiracy of grabbing of his land and despite knowledge from their own report, due to their inaction have allowed the land of the petitioner to be grabbed by land grabbers which took place in the presence of official of Lakho P.S. as

WEB COPY

evident from Annexure 1 & 17 but unfortunately due to inaction on the part of the local authorities, the land grabbers were allowed to be grabbed and put hut over the land of the petitioner in most criminal and unlawful manner and when the petitioner approached this Hon'ble Court in Cr.W.J.C. No. 1000/2015 then the respondents themselves admitted the allegation of land grabbing made by petitioner as evident from Annexure-23 but they have not restored the possession of the petitioner over the land, due to which the land grabbers are again hatching conspiracy to forcibly grab the highly valuable other lands of the petitioner and despite complaint no action is being taken to protect the petitioner and his land and the petitioner has been left at the mercy of the criminals and entire provisions of Article 21 and 300A is being defeated.

3. The record would show that earlier the petition was dismissed by the Co-ordinate Bench for non-prosecution on 25.02.2023 and subsequently, restored on 12.07.2024.

4. However, on call, again there is no appearance.

5. Accordingly, the same is dismissed once again for non-prosecution.

(Rajiv Roy, J)

Ravi/-

U		T	

WEB COPY