

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.30783 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-106 Year-2025 Thana- Excise P.S. District- Nawada

Karu Rajvanshi @ Karu Kumar

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Deepak Kumar, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Jagdhar Prasad, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE DR. ANSHUMAN
ORAL ORDER

2 15-05-2025 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
APP for the State.

2. The petitioner is apprehending arrest in connection with Nawada P.S. Case No. 106 of 2025, dated 19.02.2025, lodged under Sections 30(a), 47 of the Bihar Prohibition and Excise Act.

3. As per the prosecution, recovery of 80 liters of illicit liquor has been made which is the subject matter of the present case.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is innocent and has committed no offence. He submits that nothing incriminating material has been recovered from the conscious possession of the petitioner. Counsels submits that the name of petitioner has transpired in this case only on the basis



of secret information.

5. Learned APP for the State opposes the prayer for bail of the petitioner and submits that the criminal antecedent of the petitioner is not clean as there are three cases pending against him and all cases are registered under the Excise Act and this aspect of the matter must be taken into consideration.

6. In the present facts and circumstances, this Court is not inclined to grant bail to the petitioner. Accordingly, the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioner is hereby rejected.

7. It is directed to the petitioner to surrender before the Trial Court within a period of 6 weeks from today. In case, the petitioner surrenders within six weeks, then the Trial Court is directed to pass order on his surrender-cum-bail application on the same day considering that whether ingredients of excise act is made out against the petitioner or not, without being prejudice that the anticipatory bail of the petitioner has been rejected by this Court and the Trial Court shall pass order on the merit of this case.

(Dr. Anshuman, J)

Sudhanshu/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

