

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.7906 of 2024

=====

Santosh Kumar Singh Son of- Late Arvind Prasad Singh, Resident of - West of Prabhunath Nagar, At Chandmari Road, Dahiyawan Tola, Purbari Telpa, Saran, P.S.- Chapra Muffasil, District- Saran at Chapra.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Additional Chief Secretary, Department of Education, New Secretariat, Government of Bihar, Patna.
2. The Additional Chief Secretary, Department of Education, Government of Bihar, New Secretariat, Patna.
3. The Director, Higher Education, Department of Education, Government of Bihar, New Secretariat, Patna.
4. The Authorised Officer, Pay Verification Cell, Department of Education, Government of Bihar, Patna having its office at B.S.T.B.P. Corporation, Buddha Marg, Patna.
5. The Jai Prakash University, Chapra through its Registrar.
6. The Vice Chancellor, Jai Prakash University, Chapra.
7. The Registrar, Jai Prakash University, Chapra.
8. The Finance Officer, Jai Prakash University, Chapra.
9. The Nodal Officer/Admin, Pay Verification, Jai Prakash University, Chapra.
10. The In-charge, Pay Verification, Jai Prakash University, Chapra.

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Ritesh Kumar
For the Respondent/s	:	Mr. Additional Advocate General (07)
		Mr. Yogendra Pd Sinha, AAG-07

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ANJANI KUMAR SHARAN
ORAL ORDER

4 18-01-2025 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner, learned counsel for the State and learned counsel for the University.

2. At the very outset, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner's case is squarely covered by judgment dated 13.11.2024 passed in C.W.J.C. No.16104 of 2024.



3. In view of the aforesaid, the impugned pay verification certificate issued vide Receipt No 1108220300448 , under the signature of the authorized officer, Pay Verification Cell, Department of Education, Government of Bihar, Patna (Annexure-P/14) is hereby quashed and the matter is remanded back to the State authorities for proceeding afresh in accordance with law.

4. It goes without saying that the petitioner is entitled to receive pay as earlier.

5. Accordingly, this writ application stands disposed of.

(Anjani Kumar Sharan, J)

devendra/-

U			
---	--	--	--

