

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.32751 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-383 Year-2024 Thana- BARACHATTI District- Gaya

Kamran Ansari @ Kamran Alam, S/o Md. Faruk Ansari @ Md. Faruk R/o
Village- Bazarkar, P.S.- Barachati, District- Gaya

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Praveen Kumar, Advocate
For the State : Mr. Shyameshwar Dayal, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE JITENDRA KUMAR
ORAL ORDER

3 03-09-2025 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
APP for the State.

2. The petitioner seeks bail, apprehending his arrest,
in connection with Barachatti P.S. Case No. 383 of 2024, dated
21.08.2024, registered for the offences punishable under
Sections 126(2), 115, 110, 75, 76, 77, 352, 351(2), 3(5) and
Section 27 of the Arms Act.

3. As per allegation, the petitioner and three other co-
accused persons were following the informant and her husband
when she was going to her *maike* and on the way, the accused
persons, including the petitioner, started the outraging the
modesty of the informant and on protest, co-accused, Anjar
Qureshi, assaulted her husband and after arrival of the co-
villagers, the accused persons fled away doing firing.



4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the Petitioner is innocent and has falsely been implicated in this case. He further submits that there is no specific allegation against the petitioner regarding assault and even allegation of outraging the modesty is general and omnibus. He further submits that this false case has been filed against the petitioner on account of previous enmity.

5. It is also stated in paragraph no. 2 of the bail petition that the petitioner has not moved this Court earlier either for anticipatory bail or regular one in the instant case.

6. It has further been stated in paragraph no.2 of Supplementary Affidavit on behalf of the petitioner of the bail petition that the petitioner has one criminal antecedent.

7. However, learned APP for the State vehemently opposes the prayer of the Petitioner for bail.

8. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances, **this petition is allowed**, directing the petitioner, above-named, to be enlarged on bail, in the event of his arrest or surrender before the court below within a period of eight weeks from the date of receipt / production of a copy of this order, on his furnishing bail bonds in the sum of Rs. 10,000 /- (Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the



satisfaction of learned concerned Court Below, in connection with Barachatti P.S. Case No. 383 of 2024, subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 482 (2) of the B.N.S.S., 2023 and on the following conditions:

(i) In case, it is brought to the notice of the court below that the petitioner has any criminal antecedents other than the disclosed one, learned court below shall cancel the bail bonds of the petitioner after hearing him and getting satisfied that the petitioner has concealed his criminal antecedents despite his knowledge of the same.

(ii) In case, it is brought to the notice of the court below that statement regarding previous bail petition is wrong, learned court below shall cancel the bail bonds of the petitioner.

(Jitendra Kumar, J)

shoaib/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

