

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.16229 of 2013

Mohit Pranjal Son Of Late Ajay Kumar Sinha Resident Of Pragati Care Of
R.S. Bariyar H.No.1, Mitra Mandal Colony, Extension -A1, Sector - D,
Towards Kirorichak Gaon Anisabad, P.S. - Phulwari, District - Patna

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. Life Insurance Corporation Of India, through Executive Director, Personal, Central Office YOGAKSHEMA Jeevan Bima Marg, Mumbai-400021.
2. Executive Director, Personal, Life Insurance Corporation Of India, Central Office Yogakshema, Jeevan Bima Marg, Mumbai-400021.
3. Zonal Manager, Life Insurance Corporation Of India, Jeevan Deep Building, Exhibition Road, Patna

... .. Respondent/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	None
For the L.I.C	:	Mr. Nilanjan Chatterjee, Advocate Mr. Ujjwal Raj, Advocate Mr. Sahil Kumar, Advocate Mr. Anirvan Choudhari, Advocate Mr. Jyoti Prakash, Advocate

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE PARTHA SARTHY
ORAL ORDER

6 10-12-2025 No one appears for the petitioner. Learned counsel for the Life Insurance Corporation of India is present.

2. The petitioner has filed the instant application for direction to the respondents to issue detailed marksheet and to furnish cut-off marks of the examination for recruitment on the post of Assistant Administrative Officer held on 18th May, 2013.

3. Having perused the contents of the petition, it transpires that being aggrieved by his non-selection on the post of Assistant Administrative Officer in the year 2013, the petitioner preferred the instant application demanding the marks



obtained by the petitioner, the cut-off marks for his category and making allegations of bungling, manipulation and malpractices in the selection process.

4. Learned counsel appearing for the Life Insurance Corporation of India in reference to the statement made in the counter affidavit submits that the petitioner belongs to the Unreserved category. While the petitioner obtained 194 marks, the last person selected in the petitioner's category ie the cut-off was 277. Hence the petitioner was not selected. With respect to the other allegations levelled by the petitioner, it is submitted that the allegations are vague and unsubstantiated. In view of the above, learned counsel submits that the application be dismissed.

5. Having perused the contents of the petition and having heard learned counsel for the respondent L.I.C, the Court is of the opinion that the allegations of bungling etc. in the conduct of examination remains unsubstantiated in the writ application. The petitioner, if so advised, would be required to file an appropriate application in the trial Court by way of a suit wherein the allegations will need to be proved by leading evidence.

6. So far as the selection is concerned, the petitioner



belonging to the Unreserved category and having obtained 194 marks, the cut-off for the said category being 277, the petitioner was not selected as an Assistant Administrative Officer.

7. The Court finds no illegality in the action of the respondents nor any merit in the writ application.

8. The application is dismissed.

(Partha Sarthy, J)

Shiv/-

U			
---	--	--	--

