

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.35053 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-76 Year-2023 Thana- NADI P.S. District- Patna

1. Aryan Kumar S/O Vijay Kumar R/O Village- Raibag, P.S. Didarganj, District- Patna.
2. Akhilesh Kumar S/O Shiv Nandan Prasad R/O Village- Raibag, P.S. Didarganj, District- Patna.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Patanjali Rishi, Advocate
For the State : Mr.Upendra Kumar, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SANDEEP KUMAR
ORAL ORDER

3 10-10-2025 Heard learned counsel for the petitioners; learned APP
for the State and learned counsel for the informant.

2. The petitioners seek bail in connection with Nadi P.S. Case No. 76 of 2023 registered for the offence punishable under Sections 147, 148, 149, 342, 448, 302, 307 and 506 of the Indian Penal Code and Section 27 of the Arms Act.

3. From the report of the trial Judge, it appears that out of nine chargesheeted witnesses, five witnesses have been examined.

4. From the report of the Medical Officer, Beur Jail, Patna, it appears that the petitioner no. 1 is seriously ill and he is being admitted to PMCH again and again for his treatment.



5. Earlier the prayer for bail of the petitioners were rejected by this Court vide order dated 05.03.2024 in Cr.Misc. No. 80765 of 2023.

6. Considering the above, I am not inclined to grant bail to petitioner no. 2, namely, Akhilesh Kumar, as the trial is on the verge of conclusion.

7. So far as the petitioner no. 1, namely, Aryan Kumar is concerned, this Court is inclined to grant bail on medical grounds so that he can get himself properly treated.

8. Accordingly, let the petitioner no. 1, above named, be released on bail on furnishing bail bonds of Rs. 10,000/- (ten thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned District and Additional Sessions Judge IV, Patna City, Patna/ concerned Court in connection with Nadi P.S. Case No. 76 of 2023, subject to the condition that both the bailors should be the close relative of the petitioner no. 1.

9. As a condition of this order, the petitioner no. 1, after being released on bail is directed to co-operate in the trial either by appearing personally or through his lawyer in the trial Court on each and every date fixed in the trial. Noncompliance of the condition will result in cancellation of the bail bonds of the petitioner no. 1.



10. The bail application is allowed with regard to petitioner no. 1 and is dismissed with regard to petitioner no. 2.

11. This bail petition stands partly allowed in the above terms.

(Sandeep Kumar, J)

P. Kumar

U		T	
---	--	---	--

