

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.30142 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-8 Year-2025 Thana- MAHILA P.S District- West Champaran

Rajesh Prasad @ Rajesh Kumar @ Ravi Son of Rameshwar Prasad Resident of Village - Ahawar Shekh Tiwari Tola, P.S.- Majhauiliya, District - West Champaran.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. Sudha Kumari, Wife of Girish Prasad, Sub- Inspector, Mahila Police Station, Bettiah. Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Brajesh Kumar Singh, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s	:	Mr. Dr. Ajeet Kumar, APP
For the Informant	:	Mr. Prince Kumar Mishra, Advocate

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RUDRA PRAKASH MISHRA

ORAL ORDER

4 05-08-2025 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner, learned APP for the State, learned counsel for the informant and perused the case diary.

2. The petitioner seeks bail in connection with Bettiah Mahila P.S. Case No. 08 of 2025, instituted for the offences punishable under Sections 64, 65(1), 143(1), 145, 98, 3(5) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023, read with Sections 6, 8, 12 of POCSO Act, Sections 75, 79 of J.J. Act, Sections 3, 4, 5, 6 of I.T. Act, Sections 16, 17 and 18 of the Bounded Labour System Act.

3. The prosecution case, in short, is that the police raided at seven places and recovered sixteen major and minor



girls. It is further alleged that the petitioner committed rape upon one of the victim frequently and also made her pregnant.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is innocent and has falsely been implicated in the present case. Charge-sheet has been submitted in this case. Learned counsel for the petitioner also submits that the allegation levelled against the petitioner is general and omnibus in nature. It is further submitted that the petitioner has not committed any such offence as alleged in the FIR. The petitioner is in custody since 23.02.2025 and has got no criminal antecedent.

5. Learned APP for the State and learned counsel for the informant have vehemently opposed the prayer for grant of bail to the petitioner and submits that on perusal of record it appears that the victim is a minor girl. The petitioner is the main accused and there is direct allegation against the petitioner to establish illicit physical relationship with the recovered victim. Hence, the petitioner does not deserve the privilege of bail.

6. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case, nature of accusation and the gravity of the offence, this Court is not inclined to grant bail to the petitioner.

7. The prayer is rejected. The trial Court is directed to



expedite the Trial.

(Rudra Prakash Mishra, J)

Rajorshi/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

