

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.30074 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-376 Year-2023 Thana- KOCHAS District- Rohtas

Ravi Kumar S/o Arjun Ram R/o Village- Parsathua, P.S.- Parsathua, District-
Rohtas at Sasaram

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Dharmendra Kumar Singh

For the Opposite Party/s : Dr. Ajeet Kumar

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA
ORAL ORDER

4 29-08-2025 1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and
learned A.P.P. for the State.

2. The petitioner seeks bail in a case registered for the
offences punishable under Sections 341, 323, 324, 307, 379,
504, 506 and 34 of the Indian Penal Code.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioner submits that
petitioner is a person with clean antecedent and the informant
alleges that Ashok Ram gave orders to kill the informant
because informant had abused his son when he had gone to buy
some articles from the shop two days earlier, on which
petitioner assaulted the informant by an iron rod causing injury
on head and Monib Ram assaulted him by an iron *rami* over his
left leg, while *Baby* snatched gold chain and Rs. 5,000 from his
pocket.



4. The learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner has been falsely implicated in the instant case by the informant. It is next submitted that from perusal of the allegation as alleged in the FIR, it would manifest that initially the informant alleges that he was assaulted by all the accused persons and thereafter in the second part alleges that he was assaulted by petitioner and Monib. It is further submitted that from perusal of the injury report as has been recorded in the case diary, it would manifest that the opinion with regard to injury Nos. 1, 2 and 3 has been reserved but then injury Nos. 2 and 3 are pain and swelling on right forearm and injury No. 3 is pain in the left knee. It is also submitted that injury No. 1 is on head and the injury No. 4 has been opined to be simple. It is next submitted that allegation of assaulting on head is against the petitioner but then it is submitted that informant was referred to Sasaram for better treatment but it appears that he never went to Sasaram, as would manifest from Para-87 of the case-diary, wherein it has been recorded that despite best endeavours of the Investigating Officer, the informant has not produced the prescription relating to his treatment. It is also submitted that petitioner will not abscond rather will cooperate in the trial to prove his innocence. It is next submitted that petitioner is in



custody since 7-1-2025.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State vehemently opposes the prayer for bail of the petitioner.

6. Considering the submission made by learned counsel for the petitioner, let the petitioner above named be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned trial court where the case is pending/successor court in connection with Kochas (Parsathua O.P) P.S. Case No. 376 of 2023.

(Satyavrat Verma, J)

Sumit/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

