

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.32903 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-89 Year-2024 Thana- SAHARSA SADAR District- Saharsa

Sonu Kumar S/O Rajdeep Yadav R/O Vill.- Kahara Block, ward No.24/42,
P.S.- Saharsa Sadar, Distt.- Saharsa.

The State of Bihar

Versus

... .. Petitioner/s

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :
For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Aditya Raj, Adv.
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Nand Kishore Prasad, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RUDRA PRAKASH MISHRA

ORAL ORDER

2 16-05-2025 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
APP for the State. Perused the case diary.

2. The petitioner seeks bail in connection with
Saharsa P.S. Case No. 89 of 2024 instituted for the offences
under Sections 394, 302/34 of the Indian Penal Code and
Section 27 of the Arms Act.

3. The prosecution case, the allegation against co-
accused Roushan Kumar and two unknown are that at around
12:30 O'clock in the night, three unknown criminals came
near trucks and started damaging the same with sticks and
rods. Two criminals stood on the foot board of the truck on
the right side and one criminal stood on the foot board on the



left side and started opening the gate and causing vandalism. Meanwhile, the driver of the truck, Sipahi Gond started moving forward. Meanwhile, one criminal standing on foot board on the right side of the truck opened fire, hitting the right hand side of the driver of truck, Sipahi Gond due to which he got injured and died. On coming near the main road, the truck driver turned the truck towards right due to which the criminals hanging on the foot board on the left side of the truck fell down and the wheel of the truck ran over his body and died during treatment in the Sadar Hospital, Saharsa.

4. This is the second attempt of the petitioner. Earlier the petitioner has moved before this Court with a prayer for bail which was rejected by this Court vide order dated 29.10.2024 passed in Cr. Misc. No. 53149 of 2024.

5. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is in custody since 01.02.2024. He further submits that the charge has already been framed on 20.02.2025 but, till date, not a single witness has been examined and, thus, there is no likelihood of the conclusion of the trial in near future.



6. There is no new ground to consider the bail application of the petitioner.

7. Accordingly, the prayer for bail of the petitioner, above named, is again rejected with a direction to the learned court below to expedite the trial and conclude the same expeditiously.

(Rudra Prakash Mishra, J)

rishi/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

