

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.30038 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-56 Year-2024 Thana- BISHANPUR District- Darbhanga

Arjun Kumar S/o Jaga Sahni @ Bachchelal Sahni R/o Vijay Chapra, P.S.-
Ahiyapur, Dist.- Muzaffarpur.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

with

CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No. 30075 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-56 Year-2024 Thana- BISHANPUR District- Darbhanga

Abhishek Kumar S/O Rajdev Sahni, R/o Village- Vijay Chapra, P.S.-
Ahiyapur, Dist.- Muzaffarpur

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

(In CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No. 30038 of 2025)

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Kumar Praveen, Adv.

For the Opposite Party/s : Md. Aslam Ansari, APP

(In CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No. 30075 of 2025)

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Kumar Praveen, Adv.

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. M.K. Nirala, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE HARISH KUMAR
ORAL ORDER

2 09-05-2025 Heard the learned Advocate for the petitioners and the

learned APP for the State.

2. Both the applications are arising out of same P.S.

case and, as such, with the consent of the parties, they are being

heard together and disposed off by a common order.

3. The petitioners seek regular bail, who are in

custody in connection with Bishanpur P.S. Case No. 56 of 2024,

registered for the offence punishable under Section 394 of the



Indian Penal Code and Section 25(1-b)a, 26 and 35 of the Arms Act.

4. This is the second attempt made on behalf of the petitioners, as earlier their prayer for bail came to be rejected by this Court vide common order dated 22.11.2024 passed in Cr. Misc. Nos.55522 of 2024 and 65611 of 2024.

5. Learned Advocate for the petitioners contended that the prayer for bail of the petitioners were rejected, taking into consideration that the petitioners were apprehended at the place of occurrence with the looted articles. However, taking note of the submissions made on behalf of the petitioners, this Court had granted liberty to renew their prayer for bail immediately after framing of charge(s).

6. Taking this Court through the impugned order, the learned Advocate for the petitioners further contended that now charges have already been framed on 25.02.2025 and the petitioners have been incarcerated for almost a year having fair antecedent.

7. On the other hand, learned Advocate for the State opposed the bail applications.

8. Regard being had to the submissions made on behalf of the parties and considering the observation made by this Court and the fact that the charge(s) has already been



framed and the undertaking of the petitioners that they will fully cooperate in the proceedings of the Court, let the petitioner, named above, be released on bail on furnishing bail bonds of Rs. 10,000/- (Rupees ten thousand) each with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned A.C.J.M., Darbhanga in connection with Bishanpur P.S. Case No. 56 of 2024, subject to the condition that one of the bailors will be the close relatives of the petitioners with further conditions which are as follows:-

(i) The petitioners will cooperate in conclusion of the trial.

(ii) They will remain present on each and every date of trial till disposal of the case.

(iii) They will not try to tamper with the evidence or intimidate the witnesses to delay the disposal of trial.

(iv) In the event of default of two consecutive dates without any cogent reason, their bail bonds will liable to be cancelled.

(Harish Kumar, J)

rohit/-

U			
---	--	--	--

