

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.4343 of 2017

=====

Baliram Singh Son of Late Ram Bhagar Singh, Resident of Village-
Lakshmipur, P.S.- Sonbarsa, District- Sitamarhi.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State Of Bihar and Ors
2. The Director, Gandak Command Area Development Agency, Muzaffarpur.
3. The Commissioner, Tirhut Division, Muzaffarpur.
4. The District Magistrate, Muzaffarpur.
5. The Land Acquisition Officer, Muzaffarpur.
6. The District Public Complaint Redressal Tribunal, Muzaffarpur.
7. The Executive Engineer, Bagmati Division, Sitamarhi.
8. The Senior Additional Collector, District Complaint Cell, Sitamarhi.

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : None

For the Respondent/s : Mr. S.C.Yadav-Gp15

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV ROY

ORAL ORDER

4 15-09-2025 No one appears on behalf of the petitioner though

State is present.

2. The present writ petition has been preferred for

the following relief(s):

*(i) For issuance of writ in the nature
of mandamus commanding and directing
the respondents authority to pay adequate
compensation to the petitioner against the
acquisition of the land which was acquired
for the purpose of construction of Ring
Dam on the Jhim Jamura river.*

*(ii) For further direction to the
respondents authority to make an enquiry*



that whether the land acquired by the respondents authority of the petitioner is of residential purposes or not and if it is found that the land is of residential purposes revised the Award and pay compensation accordingly.

(iii) For further direction to the respondents authority to dispose off the representation of the petitioner by reasoned and speaking order within time framed.

(iv) And for any other relief/reliefs for which the petitioner is found to be entitled in the eye of law.

3. The paragraph 7 of the counter affidavit filed by the District Land Acquisition Officer, Gandak Project, Muzaffarpur shows that all the objections were taken note of, disposed of and after the enquiry took place, the land (under acquisition) were classified as Class II land, rate fixed/assessed and thereafter the payment was made @ Rs. 4,74,363/- per acre, accordingly, the estimate was prepared/sanctioned by the Collector, Sitamarhi and the award was declared.

4. Further, no residential land was acquired under the aforesaid land acquisition proceeding and in that background, the District Classification Committee classified



the land as Class II land.

5. Be that as it may, since there is no appearance,
dismissed.

(Rajiv Roy, J)

Raj Ranjan/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

