

injured.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioners submitted that the petitioners have falsely been implicated in the present case. Learned counsel for the petitioners submitted that general and omnibus allegation has been made against the petitioners. Informant is not eye-witness to the occurrence. It has been submitted on behalf of the petitioners that the petitioners have no criminal antecedent.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State has vehemently opposed the prayer for grant of bail to the petitioners. Learned APP further submitted that there is specific allegation against the petitioner of assaulting the deceased due to which he died and therefore, the petitioners do not deserve to be released on bail.

6. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case as also there being direct allegation against the petitioners, in my view, this is not a fit case for anticipatory bail, and hence, I am not inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

7. Accordingly, the prayer for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioners is, hereby, rejected.

8. However, if the petitioners surrender before the



learned court below within a period of six weeks from today and
pray for regular bail, the same shall be disposed of on its own
merit without being prejudiced by this order.

(Rudra Prakash Mishra, J)

Alok Verma/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

