

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.31026 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-62 Year-2023 Thana- BALIGAON District- Vaishali

Rahul Kumar @ Dhauli Son of Fulel Sahani Village- Kuria, P.S.- Baligon,
District- Vaishali

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. Indu Sinha Wife of Dayanand Prasad Village- Khwajpur, Ps- Baligaon, Dist-
Vaishali

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Satya Prakash Sinha, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Choubey Jawahar, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE DR. ANSHUMAN
ORAL ORDER

5 28-11-2025 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
APP for the State.

2. The petitioner seeks regular bail in connection with
Baligaon P.S. Case No. 62 of 2023, lodged on 02.06.2023, for
the offences punishable under Sections 376(D) & 328/34 of the
Indian Penal Code and under Section 6 of the POCSO Act,
pending before the Court of the District and Sessions Judge-VI-
cum-Spl. Judge, POCSO, Vaishali at Hajipur.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the
bail application of the petitioner was earlier rejected vide order
dated 26.04.2024 passed in Cr. Misc. No. 17437 of 2024.



4. Counsel further submits that the petitioner is innocent and has unnecessarily been made an accused in the present case. Counsel further repeats almost the same statements as in the earlier rejection order and submits that the petitioner has been in custody since 03.06.2023. Counsel further submits that out of twelve charge-sheeted witnesses, nine prosecution witnesses have been examined.

5. Learned APP for the State opposes the prayer for bail and submits that in the earlier rejection order it has been categorically observed that the victim herself, under Section 164 of the Cr.P.C., has made specific allegations against the five named accused persons, including the present petitioner.

6. In the present facts and circumstances of this case and the submissions made above, this Court is not inclined to grant bail to the petitioner. Therefore, the bail application of the petitioner is hereby rejected.

(Dr. Anshuman, J.)

Aman Kumar/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

