

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Miscellaneous Jurisdiction Case No.1609 of 2025

In
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.10309 of 2022

=====

Amarjeet Kumar Son of Sri Ghanshyam Chandra @ Ghanshyam Chandra Ghosh Resident of Village-Madhusudan Nagar (Gurudhan), P.S.-Bounsi, District-Banka.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. Anshul Kumar Son of Not known to the petitioner Collector cum District Magistrate, Banka.
3. Sibaktullah Son of Not known to the petitioner District Land Acquisition Officer, Banka
4. Avinash Kumar Son of Not Known to the petitioner Sub Divisional Magistrate, Banka.
5. Ravi Kumar Son of Not known to the petitioner Circle Officer Bounsi, Banka
6. Chandra Shekhar Azad Son of Not known to the petitioner Chief Engineer, Rural Works Department, Bhagalpur.
7. Chandrahas Kumar Son of Not known to the petitioner Supritendent Engineer Rural Works Department, Bhagalpur.
8. Satyendra Narayan Roy Son of Not known to the petitioner Executive Engineer Rural Works Department, Work Division, Banka-2.

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Rajiv Kumar Singh
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Standing Counsel 25

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA
ORAL ORDER

5 10-10-2025 1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and
learned SC-25.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the
instant contempt application has been filed for initiating
contempt proceeding against the contemnors/opposite parties for
willfully and deliberately disobeying and violating the order



dated 18-11-2024 in CWJC No. 10309 of 2022.

3. The learned SC-25, at this stage, submits that the order dated 18-11-2024 in CWJC No. 10309 of 2022 has been complied with and the petitioner has been paid compensation of an amount of Rs. 20 lakh for his land which was used for construction of road.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that no doubt an amount of Rs. 20 lakh has been received by the petitioner in lieu of compensation but then the same has been received under protest as the same was based on findings of a six-member committee report dated 21-2-2025.

5. Learned counsel for the petitioner, after making his submission, seeks permission to withdraw the contempt application with liberty to assail the findings of the six-member committee dated 21-2-2025.

6. Permission is accorded.

7. Accordingly, the contempt application is dismissed as withdrawn with the liberty aforesaid.

(Satyavrat Verma, J)

Sumit/-

U			
---	--	--	--

