

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA**  
**Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.3537 of 2016**

=====

Praveen Kumar Singh Son of Shyamnandan Singh Resident of Mohalla-  
Marwari School Road, P.s Nagar Town, Darbhanga District Darbhanga.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State Of Bihar
2. The Commissioner, Darbhanga Division, Darbhanga.
3. District Magistrate, Darbhanga.
4. S.D.O. Sadar Darbhanga.
5. Circle OfficerSadar Darbhanga.
6. Braj Mohan Chaudhary@lal Babu Chaudhary Son of Late Deb Nath Chaudhary Resident of Village- Ranipur P.s Sadar District Darbhanga.
7. Smt. Kamini Devi W/o Braj Mohan Chaudhary@lal Babu Chaudhary Resident of Village- Ranipur P.s Sadar District Darbhanga.
8. Sarwan Kumar Jha Son of late Jagdish Jha Resident of Village- Ranipur P.s Sadar District Darbhanga.

... .. Respondent/s

with

**Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No. 3061 of 2016**

=====

Praveen Kumar Singh Son of Shyam Nandan Prasad Singh, resident of  
Marwari School Road, P.S. Kusheshwar Asthan, District Darbhanga.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State Of Bihar
2. Chief Secretary, Government of Bihar, Patna.
3. Commissioner, Darbhanga Division, Darbhanga.
4. District Magistrate, Darbhanga.
5. S.D.O. Biraul, District Darbhanga.
6. Circle Officer, Kusheshwar Asthan East Block, District Darbhanga.
7. Executive Engineer, Flood Control Division, Jhanjharipur, Madhubani.
8. Managing Director, G.S. Co. Infrastructure Pvt. Ltd. Chandigarh.
9. Pankaj Kumar, son of not known (peti-contractor), resident of near Sri Krishna Medical College and Hospital, Muzaffarpur, District Muzaffarpur.

... .. Respondent/s

=====

**Appearance :**

(In Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No. 3537 of 2016)



For the Petitioner/s : None  
For the Respondent/s : Smt. Geeta Kumari,GP-28.  
For respondent No.6 : Mr. Abhishek, Advocate  
(In Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No. 3061 of 2016)  
For the Petitioner/s : None  
For the Respondent/s : Md Fazie Karim, A.C. to S.C.-1.

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ALOK KUMAR SINHA**  
**ORAL ORDER**

5      24-04-2025                      No one again appeared on behalf of the petitioner.  
  
Learned counsel for the respondent No.6 as also learned counsel  
for the State are present.

2. On several occasions in the past when the case had  
been called out, nobody had appeared on behalf of the  
petitioner. Even on the last occasion, i.e., 15.04.2025, no one  
had appeared to press the writ applications and that is why this  
Court had given an adjournment by way of last chance. Today  
again nobody has appeared to press the writ application. It is  
apparent that the petitioner has lost interest in the present case,  
and therefore, both the writ applications are dismissed for non-  
prosecution.

**(Alok Kumar Sinha, J)**

sanjeev/-

|   |  |  |  |
|---|--|--|--|
| U |  |  |  |
|---|--|--|--|

