

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.16699 of 2012

=====

Kameshwar Singh Son of Late Yadubir Singh Resident of Village - Afaur East Tola, P.O. Afaur, P.S. Khaira, District - Saran at Chapra, the retired Head Clerk from the office of Project Executive Officer, Rupauli, Block - Rupauli, District - Purnea

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Chief Secretary, Government of Bihar, Old Secretariat, Patna
2. The Principal Secretary, Agriculture Department, Government of Bihar, Patna
3. The Director, Agriculture Department, Government of Bihar, Patna
4. The Joint Director, Agriculture Department, Koshi Division, Saharsa
5. The District Agriculture Officer, Purnea, District - Purnea
6. The Project Executive Officer, Rupauli Block, P.S. Rupauli, District Purnea
7. The Principal Secretary, Panchayati Raj Department, Government of Bihar, Patna
8. The Director, Panchayati Raj Department, Government of Bihar, Patna
9. The Joint Director-Cum-Joint Secretary, Panchayati Raj Department, Government of Bihar, Patna
10. The District Magistrate, Madhepura, District - Madhepura
11. The District Panchayat Raj Officer, Madhepura, District - Madhepura
12. The Sub-Divisional Officer, Udakishanganj, District - Madhepura
13. The Block Development Officer, Udakishanganj, District - Madhepura

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : None
For the Respondent/s : Mr. Manoj Kumar, A.C. to G.P.4

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE PARTHA SARTHY
ORAL ORDER

4 15-09-2025 No one appears on behalf of the petitioner. Learned counsel for the respondents is present.

2. The petitioner has filed the instant writ application
for the following relief(s):

*“I. For issuance of an appropriate writ in
the nature of mandamus, commanding and directing*



the respondent authorities to pay the arrears of salary from September, 2008 till the date of his retirement i.e. on 31.07.2012 to the petitioner with statutory as well as penal interest.

II. For issuance of any other appropriate writ/writs, order/orders, direction/directions for which the writ petitioner shall be found entitled under the facts and circumstances of the case.”

3. No one appeared on behalf of the petitioner on 25.8.2025 when the Court was pleased to record the following order:

“No one appears on behalf of the petitioner. Learned counsel for the respondent-State is present.

2. This is an old matter of the year 2012 and still the petitioner is not showing interest in appearing and pressing the writ application.

3. One last indulgence is being granted to the petitioner, failing which on the next date, the Court may consider dismissing the writ application on merits.

4. Put up this case on 15.09.2025.”

4. As no one appears on behalf of the petitioner, the case is dismissed for non-prosecution.

(Partha Sarthy, J)

Saurabh/-

U			
---	--	--	--

