

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.1869 of 2017

=====

Ravindra Shah @ Rabindra Kumar Gond Son of Shri Rampujan Shah,
Resident of Village- Imiliya Manjha, P.O.- Ganesh Asthan, Manjha, P.S.-
Phulwariya, District- Gopalganj.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State Of Bihar through the Principal Secretary, Finance Department,
Government of Bihar, Patna.
2. The Managing Director and Chief Executive Officer, ICICI Bank Limited,
ICICI Bank Towers, Bandra- Kurla Complex, Mumbai.
3. Deputy General Manager, ICICI Bank Limited, ICICI Bank Towers, Bandra
Kurla Complex, Mumbai.
4. The Zonal Manager, ICICI Bank, Zonal Office, Sahdeo Mahto Marg, Plot
No. 6 B Sri Krishnapuri, Boring Road, Patna.
5. Regional Manager, ICICI Bank, Regional Office, Sinha Complex, Near Juba
Sahni Park, Club Road, Ramnna. Muzaffarpur
6. Branch Manager, ICICI Bank Limited, Post Office Chowk, Banjari Road,
Gopalganj.
7. Virendra Kumar Son of Dina Nath Mahto, Resident of Village- Bhadkuiya,
P.S.- Barauli, District- Gopalganj, Communication Address- Bhad Kuiya,
P.S.- Barhariya, District- Siwan.

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Arbind Kumar Singh, Advocate
For the State	:	Mr. Ajay Kr. Rastogi (AAG-10)
For the ICICI Bank	:	Mr. Nagdeo Choubey, Advocate
		Mr. Daya Nand Singh, Advocate

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE DR. ANSHUMAN
ORAL ORDER

7 21-02-2025 Heard Learned Counsel for the petitioner, Learned

Counsel for the State and Learned Counsel for Respondent-

Bank.

2. The present writ petition has been filed for

quashing of the letter dated 27.10.2015 (annexed as Annexure-

10) issued under the signature of Deputy General Manager,



Retail Business Group, ICICI Bank Ltd (Respondent no.3) by which services of the petitioner has been terminated without assigning any reasons. Further prayer has also been made for directing the respondents to reinstate the petitioner in his service with all consequential relief/benefits.

3. Learned Counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner was appointed as Business Development Executive in ICICI Bank Ltd., but subsequently, he has been terminated from the service. Counsel submits that Annexure-10 is the order impugned which has been issued without any opportunity of hearing and therefore, this Court having jurisdiction to interfere in a situation where natural justice has been violated.

4. Learned Counsel for the State on the other hand submits that the present writ petition is not maintainable as writ always used to be issued against the State as defined under Article 12 of the Constitution of India.

5. Learned Counsel for Respondent-Bank submits that this case is not maintainable at all due to the reason that ICICI Bank Ltd. is not State under Article 12 of the Constitution of India. Secondly, the remedy lies to the petitioner before the internal forum of the ICICI Bank Ltd. itself and without availing the forum, petitioner has moved before this Court. Counsel



further submits that actually the petitioner has only remedy after exhausting of his internal service procedure before the Labour Court and not before the Writ Court.

6. In the light of the submissions made, Learned Counsel for the petitioner seeks permission to withdraw the present petition.

7. Permission, as prayed for, is granted.

8. As such, this writ petition is hereby dismissed as withdrawn.

(Dr. Anshuman, J)

Divyansh/-

U			
---	--	--	--

