

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.30002 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-240 Year-2022 Thana- JHANJHARPUR District- Madhubani

Krishan Kumar Chaudhary S/O Dhanik Lal Chaudhary R/O Village- Chora
Mahrail, P.S.- Jhanjharpur, District – Madhubani.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr.Gagan Deo Yadav, Advocate
	:	Mr.Rajesh Kumar, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s	:	Mr.Anil Kumar Singh No. 1, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KUMAR SINHA
ORAL ORDER

- 2 16-05-2025 1. Heard learned Counsel for the petitioner and
learned APP for the State.
2. This application, for grant of anticipatory bail,
arises out of Jhanjharpur PS case no. 240 of 2022 dated
21.10.2022, disclosing offences punishable under Section 380
of the Indian Penal Code.
3. The prosecution story, as per the First
Information Report, is that in the intervening night of
19/20.10.2022 at about 12.40 am, while the informant and
family members were sleeping, in the meanwhile, petitioner
and other accused persons entered into the house of the
informant and bolted all the doors of the house from outside.



It is alleged that accused persons started taking out petrol from the oil tanker of the motorcycle and co-accused persons namely Prince Kumar Chaudhary and Nehal Kumar Chaudhary started removing battery from the tractor of the informant. when the informant woke up upon noise and tried to get outside of his house but he could not come out due to the fact that the doors were bolted by the accused persons from outside prior to committing the theft. Thereafter, the informant raised alarm and some villagers arrived and caught the petitioner and other accused persons but co-accused Nehal Kumar Chaudhary threatened to kill the villagers and thereafter, all accused persons fled away with petrol and battery worth Rs. 1,25,000/-.

4. Learned Counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner has falsely been implicated in this case due to political rivalry in panchayat election. Learned counsel further submits that the petitioner and informant are residents of same village and accordingly, the petitioner has been made accused due to previous rivalry.

5. Regard being had to the submissions made on behalf of the parties and taking into consideration the nature of allegation and the fact that the petitioner and others were



identified by the informant, I am not inclined to grant the
privilege of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

6. This application is, accordingly, dismissed.

(Anil Kumar Sinha, J)

rinkee/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

