

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.17297 of 2016

=====

Ajay Kumar Bishnoi Son of late Arjun Singh Vishnoi Resident of Village-
Sinduriya, P.S. Barun, Circle - Barun and District - Aurangabad.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State Of Bihar
2. The District Magistrate, Aurangabad.
3. The Additional Collector Cum Arbitrator, Aurangabad.
4. The Deputy Collector land Reform, Cum the Competent Authority, Aurangabad.
5. The Chief General Manager National High Way II, Aurangabad.
6. The Project Director, P.I. U. N.H.A.I. Varanasi.
7. The Arbitrator-cum-Commissioner, Magadh Division, Gaya

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Anirudh Kumar Verma, Advocate
For the Respondent/s	:	Mr. Sajid Salim Khan, SC-25
For the NHAI	:	Mr. Rajesh Kumar Shandilya, Advocate
	:	Ms. Ankita Kumari, Advocate

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV ROY
ORAL ORDER

3 19-08-2025 Learned counsel for the petitioner prays for and is

allowed to implead the Arbitrator-cum-Divisional

Commissioner, Magadh Division, Gaya as respondent no.7 in

course of the day.

2. Heard the parties.

3. The present petition has been preferred for the
grant of following relief(s):

*“for quashing the awarded dated
12.03.2016 passed under "Right to Fair
compensation and Transparency in Land
Acquisition, Rehabilitation and Resettlement Act,
2013 which is amended award dated 07.07.2014*



under section 3G of the National Highway Act, 1956 under Gazette notification dated 8.12.2010 without following mandatory requirements under section 24(2) of the RFCTLARR Act, 2013.”

4. After some arguments, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that he shall be approaching before the Arbitrator-cum-Divisional Commissioner, Magadh Division, Gaya for the redressal of grievance in next four weeks.

5. In that background, the writ petition stands disposed of allowing the petitioner to approach the Arbitrator-cum-Divisional Commissioner, Magadh Division, Gaya for the redressal of the grievance and if the same is filed in next four weeks, the concerned Arbitrator shall consider the limitation petition taking into account that the matter was pending for nine years before this Court and the same is to be taken to its logical conclusion on merit and be not rejected on the technical ground.

6. Since the matter is a decade old, it is expected that the same will be taken to its logical conclusion by 31st March, 2026.

(Rajiv Roy, J)

vinayak/-

U			
---	--	--	--

