

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.19399 of 2012

=====

Bharat Prasad S/O Late Lallu Mahto R/O Mohalla- Bari Patan Devi, Goraha,
Near Mahesh Mistri, P.S.- Alamganj, Distt.- Patna, A/P Posted as Junior
Engineer, Drainage Division, Chhapra

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Principal Secretary, Government Of Bihar,
Water Resources Department, Bihar, Patna
2. The Engineer-In-Chief, North, Water Resources Department, Patna, Bihar
3. The Chief Engineer, Water Resources Department, Barari Road, Bhagalpur
4. The Superintending Engineer, Ganga Pump Canal Circle, Water Resources
Department, Bhagalpur
5. The Executive Engineer, Ganga Pump Canal Division, Kahalgaon,
Bhagalpur
6. The Executive Engineer, Water Ways Division, Chhapra

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : None
For the Respondent/s : Mrs. Dimpal Kumari, A.C. to G.P.-11

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE PARTHA SARTHY
ORAL ORDER

7 16-09-2025 No one appears for the petitioner. Learned counsel
for the respondents is present.

2. The petitioner has filed the instant application for
the following relief(s):

*“A) For issuance of a writ in the nature of
certiorary, quashing the order, passed by the Executive
Engineer, Kahalgaon, (Respondent No.5) No. 5) which
was communicated to the petitioner by Letter No. 527,
dated 30.05.2012, where by and where under, the
claim of the Petitioner is rejected on the basis of
Petitioner was not entitled for benefits of 12%
maximum Rs.150/-.*

*B) For issuance of a direction to the
Respondents to fix the salary in the light of earlier*



order vide Letter No.370, dated -20.04.2002 and also in the light of letter No. 261, dated 15.07.2011 calculating entire increment and revised them on the basis of 5th and 6th Pay Revision.

C) For commanding the Respondents to pay the arrear of amount in the pay scale of Junior Engineer as per fixation made by the Executive Engineer, Chhapra, (Respondent No.6)

D) For a direction to the Respondents to pay interest on the every count.

E) For any other relief/reliefs which the Petitioner may be deemed entitle.”

3. No one appeared on behalf of the petitioner on 25.8.2025 when this Court recorded the following order:

“No one appears on behalf of the petitioner. Learned counsel for the respondent-State is present.

2. This is an old mater of the year 2013 (sic) and still the petitioner is not showing interest in appearing and pressing the writ application.

3. One last indulgence is being granted to the petitioner, flailing (sic) which on the next date, the Court may consider dismissing the writ application on merits.

4. Put up this case on 15.09.2025.”

4. As no one appears on behalf of the petitioner today also, the case is dismissed for non-prosecution.

(Partha Sarthy, J)

Saurabh/-

U			
---	--	--	--

