

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.30296 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-275 Year-2024 Thana- BARHARA KOTHI District- Purnia

1. Md. Luman @ Nauman Alam @ Md. Nauman Alam S/o Md. Usman Resident of Village - Sahsaal, PS- Barhara, Distt.- Purnia
2. Md. Gulfam @ Md. Gulfam Alam @ Md. Gulfan S/o Md. Usman Resident of Village - Sahsaal, PS- Barhara, Distt.- Purnia
3. Md. Hasam @ Hasam S/o Late Abul Hasan Resident of Village - Sahsaal, PS- Barhara, Distt.- Purnia

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Bhola Prasad, Advocate
For the State	:	Mr. Ashok Kumar Singh, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV ROY
ORAL ORDER

2 19-05-2025 Heard Bhola Prasad, learned counsel for the petitioners and Mr. Ashok Kumar Singh, learned APP for the State.

2. The petitioners apprehend their arrest in connection with Barhara P.S. Case No. 275 of 2024 for the offence registered under Sections 126(2), 74, 303(2), 351(2), 352, 3(5) of the B.N.S., 2023 lodged on 03.12.2024 by the informant Ashmina Khatun.

3. As per prosecution story, the informant alleged that on the issue of construction of wall, the agnates resorted to put fight in which the injuries have been sustained by the informant



side. There is also allegation of outraging the modesty of the lady and snatching of the amount. This led to the FIR.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that there is case and counter case, admitted fact is that there is delay in lodging of the FIR, both sides have sustained injuries.

5. Learned APP for the State, on the other hand, opposes the prayer for anticipatory bail and has taken this Court to an order of learned Sessions Judge to show that the injuries inflicted by the petitioners have been found to be grievous in nature.

6. Considering the allegation that has come against the petitioners, the injuries have been found to be grievous in nature, in that background, it is advised to them to seek bail.

7. Accordingly, the anticipatory bail application stands rejected.

8. If, however, the petitioners do have criminal antecedent, the order shall become infructuous.

(Rajiv Roy, J)

priyanka/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

