

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.8399 of 2025

Sanjay Kumar @ Sanjay Kumar Prajapati S/o Late Saudagar Pandit, resident of Mohalla/Village- Dharmaraychak ward no. 6, P.S.- Lakhisarai, Distt.- Lakhisarai.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Additional Chief Secretary of Urban Development and Housing, Department, Government of Bihar, Patna.
2. The Additional Chief Secretary of Urban Development and Housing, Department, Government of Bihar, Patna.
3. The Director Municipal Administration Urban Development and Housing Department, Government of Bihar, Patna.
4. The Divisional Commissioner, Munger.
5. The District Magistrate, Lakhisarai.
6. The Labour Superintendent, Lakhisarai.
7. The Executive Officer, Nagar Parishad, Lakhisarai.

... .. Respondent/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Kumar Kamal Nayan, Advocate
For the Respondent/s	:	Mr. Avinash Kumar, Advocate
		Mr. Kumar Satyam, Advocate
		Mr. Ajay Kumar Mehta, Advocate

CORAM: HONOURABLE THE ACTING CHIEF JUSTICE
and
HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ALOK KUMAR PANDEY
ORAL ORDER

(Per: HONOURABLE THE ACTING CHIEF JUSTICE)

4 02-12-2025 The writ application has been filed for the following
reliefs:

*“(i) To direct the respondent authorities
to enquire about the benefits of EPF and ESIC to*



be given to the workers/labourers as such EPF and ESIC is being created in forged names and further to provide EPF, ESI and minimum wages to the employees of the cleaning agency.

(ii) To direct the concerned respondent authorities to follow the provisions of Bihar Municipality Act, 2007 in collecting the taxes and allocating the funds in different heads.

(iii) To direct the respondent authorities, to take necessary action upon irregularities in the issuance of tenders made by the Lakhisarai, Nagar Parishad wherein tenders have been allotted without verifying the documents of the allottee as such the tender has been procured by producing forged documents in connivance with the officials of Nagar Parishad and further to look into the documents prior to execution of any kind of agreement.

(iv) To direct the respondent authorities, to pay the wages of the workers/labourers, (who engaged in the cleaning work of Nagar Parishad, Lakhisarai) in their Bank account/ post office account.

(v) For issuance of any other relief/reliefs for which the petitioner is entitled in the facts and circumstances of the case.”

2. Upon perusal of the reliefs sought in the present writ application, it appears that the allegations made are vague and unsupported. Furthermore, the petitioner has not made the mandatory declarations required under the High Court Rules for



filing a Public Interest Litigation.

3. A one-line statement has been made in paragraph No. 3 of the writ application that the petitioner is a social worker and resides within the territorial jurisdiction of this Court. Except for this assertion, there is nothing on record to show the nature of social work undertaken by him or his locus to file the present Public Interest Litigation.

4. The parameters laid down in Chapter XXI-CC of the Rules of the High Court at Patna, 1916, for filing PIL matters, are as follows:-

“6. To facilitate the aforesaid purpose, a petitioner in a PIL shall state in clear terms the relief prayed for in paragraph-1 of the petition and the grounds in paragraph-2. In paragraph-3, he must give full and complete details of himself to reveal his interest, credentials and qualification relevant for the PIL, along with a declaration that he has no personal interest, direct or indirect, in the subject matter of the PIL. In addition, ordinarily, the petitioner is required to set out all relevant facts with supporting data, reports, etc.

7. After arriving at a prima facie satisfaction regarding the credentials of the petitioner and the correctness of the contents of the petition, if the Court finds that the petition was filed by busybodies for extraneous or ulterior motives, the Bench may impose



exemplary costs.”

5. Considering the facts, we are not inclined to pass any further order in the present writ application.

6. The writ application is, accordingly, dismissed.

7. Pending application(s), if any, shall stand disposed of.

(Sudhir Singh, ACJ)

(Alok Kumar Pandey, J)

Anushka/-

U			
---	--	--	--

