

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.8618 of 2025

1. Ravindra Rai Son of Gagandev Rai, resident of village - Madhubani Tola, Sukhalahiya, Police Station- Sagrampur, District- East Champaran.
2. Jitendra Mishra, Son of Raghunath Mishra, resident of village - Madhubani Tola, Sukhalahiya, Police Station- Sagrampur, District- East Champaran.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through its Chief Secretary, Government of Bihar, Old Secretariat, Patna.
2. The Additional Chief Secretary/Principal Secretary, Department of Revenue and Land Reforms, Government of Bihar, Patna.
3. The Commissioner, Tirhut Division, Muzaffarpur.
4. The District Magistrate-cum-Collector, East Champaran.
5. The Additional District Magistrate-cum-Additional Collector, Revenue, East Champaran.
6. The Deputy Collector, Land Reforms, Areraj, East Champaran.
7. The Sub-Divisional Officer, Areraj, East Champaran.
8. The District Land Acquisition Officer, East Champaran.
9. The Circle Officer, Sangrampur, East Champaran.
10. Binod Ram, Son of Ramchandrar, resident of village- Madhubani Tola Sukhalahiya, Police-Station - Sangrampur, District - East Champaran.

... .. Respondent/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Sanjay Kumar- Advocate
For the Respondent/s	:	Mr. Government Pleader (10)
		Mr. Satya Vrat- AC to GP-10

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA
ORAL ORDER

2 29-07-2025 1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioners and learned AC to GP-10 for the State.

2. The learned counsel appearing on behalf of the State, at the outset, submits that a counter-affidavit has been filed and from perusal of the same, it would manifest that the land in dispute in the instant writ application has been acquired



for construction of approach road in terms of the Land Acquisition Act, 2013 and thereafter, award has been prepared under Section 37 of the Land Acquisition Act, as such, the land goes beyond the purview of the land-holder. It is also submitted that if the petitioners are aggrieved by the award, in that event, they have alternative remedy for assailing the award in accordance with law.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioners after some argument, seeks permission to withdraw the writ application to avail his remedy with regard to the lis involved in the instant writ application in accordance with law before an appropriate forum.

4. Permission is accorded.

5. Accordingly, instant writ petition is dismissed as withdrawn with the liberty aforesaid.

(Satyavrat Verma, J)

vikash/-

U			
---	--	--	--

