

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.30406 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-231 Year-2024 Thana- MAJORGANJ District- Sitamarhi

Nunu Rai, S/O Late Vidhya Rai @ Bidhya Rai, Resident of Village-
Bariyarpur, P.S.- Majorganj, Dist- Sitamarhi

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Ashok Kumar Jha, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Md. Shakir Ahmad, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ASHOK KUMAR PANDEY
ORAL ORDER

2 20-05-2025 Heard Mr. Ashok Kumar Jha, learned counsel for the
petitioner and Mr. Md. Shakir Ahmad, learned APP for the State.

2. The petitioner has prayed for bail in connection with
Majorganj P.S. Case No. 231 of 2024 registered for the offence
punishable under Sections 103(1), 61(2) and 3(5) of the BNS.

3. The case of the prosecution is that two years ago
Akali Devi executed a sale-deed in favour of the wife of the
informant. It is further alleged that the petitioner and others have
assaulted the wife of the informant one month ago. It is further
alleged that on 21.07.2024 caught the informant, started assaulting
him. When Akali Devi came to rescue, all the accused persons
assaulted with *lathi-danda* and she died due to above assault.

4. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner
has submitted that petitioner is innocent and has committed no
offence. He has been falsely implicated in this case. The nature of



allegation is general and omnibus. It has also been submitted by learned counsel for the petitioner that Annexure-P/2 which is order of trial court will go show that three accused persons have been granted anticipatory bail by the trial court itself. The trial court has held that the informant and the petitioners are agnate. They are having land dispute and in diary, it is clear that due to land dispute they have been implicated. The case of this petitioner stands on similar footing. Petitioner is languishing in judicial custody since 23.07.2024.

5. The application for bail is opposed by learned APP for the State.

6. Having heard learned counsel for the parties and considering the facts and circumstances of the case, this Court is inclined to enlarge the petitioner on bail. The above named petitioner is directed to be enlarged on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Rs. ten thousand only) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned A.C.J.M.-I, Sitamarhi in connection with Majorganj P.S. Case No. 231 of 2024.

(Ashok Kumar Pandey, J)

lata/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

