

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.8392 of 2022

-
-
1. Kiran Devi, Wife of Jitendra Prasad Resident of Vilalge-Behata, P.S.-Benipatti, District-Madhubani.
 2. Soni Kumari, Wife of Virendra Prasad Resident of Vilalge-Behata, P.S.-Benipatti, District-Madhubani.
 3. Sneha Raj, Wife of Satyendra Kumar Gupta Resident of Vilalge-Behata, P.S.-Benipatti, District-Madhubani.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. The Divisional Commissioner, Darbhanga.
3. The Collector, Madhubani
4. The Sub-Divisional Officer, Benipatti, Madhubani
5. The Circle Officer, Benipatti.
6. The Deputy Collector, Land Reforms, Benipatti, Madhubani.
7. Palavi Kumari Gupta Wife of Not Known, Presently Posted as Circle Officer, Benipatti, District-Madhubani.
8. Mithilesh Kumar Sharma Son of Raj Kumar Thakur. Resident of Village-Behata P.S.-Benipatti, District-Madhubani.
9. Dharmendra Kuamr Sah Son of Late Ramvriksha Sah Resident of Village-Behata P.S.-Benipatti, District-Madhubani.
10. Raj Kumar Thakur Son of Late Kishun Thakur Resident of Village-Behata P.S.-Benipatti, District-Madhubani.
11. Kamlesh Kumar Sharma Son of Raj Kumar Thakur Resident of Village-Behata P.S.-Benipatti, District-Madhubani.
12. Surya Devi Wife of Raj Kumar Thakur Resident of Village-Behata P.S.-Benipatti, District-Madhubani.
13. Madhu Devi Wife of Dharmendra Kumar Sah Resident of Village-Behata P.S.-Benipatti, District-Madhubani.

... .. Respondent/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Saroj Kumar
For the Respondent/s	:	Mr. Md. Khurshid Alam (AAG- 12)
		Mr. Asif Kalim- AC to AAG-12

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA
ORAL ORDER

4 09-01-2025 1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioners and



learned AC to AAG-12 for the State.

2. The learned counsel appearing on behalf of the State submits that petitioners have remedy against the order impugned in the instant writ application before the Bihar Land Tribunal, but then, petitioners have rushed to this Court.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioners submits that after filing of the writ application, two Interlocutory Applications were also filed, but the same till date has not been allowed, on which the learned counsel appearing on behalf of the State submits that petitioners can raise all the issues before the B.L.T.

4. At this stage, the learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioners seeks permission to withdraw the present writ application with liberty to seek his appropriate remedy in accordance with law.

5. Permission is accorded.

6. Accordingly, instant petition is dismissed as withdrawn.

(Satyavrat Verma, J)

vikash/-

U			
---	--	--	--

