

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.20506 of 2016

1. Vidya Nand Singh son of Ram Dayal Singh
2. Jai Nath Yadav son of Late Sheo Pujan Yadav
3. Yogendra Prasad Singh son of Late Chhathu Singh
4. Ram Kumar Singh Yadav son of Late Sheo Pujan Yadav. All are residents of Kalyanpur, P.S. Udwanthnagar, District - Bhojpur.

... .. Petitioners

Versus

1. The Union of India through its Secretary, Ministry of Railway, Govt. of India, New Delhi.
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Railway, Govt. of India, New Delhi.
3. The General Manager, Eastern Central Railway Zone, Hajipur, Vaishali, Bihar.
4. The Divisional Railway Manager, Danapur Mandal, Eastern Central Railway, Danapur, Patna.
5. The Senior Divisional Engineer, Co-ordinance, Danapur Mandal, Eastern Central Railway, Danapur, Pat
6. The Divisional Engineer Line, Danapur Mandal, Eastern Central Railway, Danapur, Patna.
7. The Assistant Engineer Line, Danapur Mandal, Eastern Central Railway, Danapur, Patna.
8. The Inspector of Work, Engineering Branch, Eastern Central Railway, Danapur Mandal, Branch Ara, District- Bhojpur.

... .. Respondents

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Aditya Narayan Singh-1, Advocate
For the UoI (Railways)	:	Mr. Ram Anurag Singh, CGC
For the Respondent/s	:	Mr. Anil Kumar Sinha, Advocate

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV ROY
ORAL ORDER

3 25-08-2025 Learned counsel for the petitioners prays for and is allowed to implead the District Magistrate, Ara as party respondent no. 9 to the present petition.

2. The present writ petition has been filed for the following reliefs:

“For issuance of appropriate writ, rule or



direction to the respondents authorities to make payment of the compensation amount on the present market value along with statutory interest as well as compensation for damage of crops due to cutting the soil from the lands of the petitioner which has been taken possession by the respondent authorities without providing the compensation amount as the land of the petitioners have been taken in possession by the respondent authorities without informing the petitioner or completing the acquisition procedure since no amount has been paid for their land and the possession has been taken by the respondent authorities by cutting the soil from their lands destroying the crops standing thereon without providing compensation to the said lands. And This writ application also for grant of relief or reliefs as petitioners are found entitled.”

3. After some arguments, learned counsel for the petitioners, Mr. Aditya Narayan Singh-1, submits that they shall be approaching the competent officer / appropriate authority for the redressal of their grievance.

4. Allowing the said liberty and without commenting on the merit of the case, the writ petition is disposed of.

5. If any petition is filed, it is expected that the same will be taken to its logical conclusion at the earliest.

(Rajiv Roy, J)

Shahnawaz/-

U			
---	--	--	--

