

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.29142 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-64 Year-2024 Thana- DARPA District- East Champaran

=====

Guddu Kumar @ Guddu Sah @ Guddu Kumar Kanu Son of Lalbahadur Sah
@ Lal Bahadur Sah Village- Aamva Tonava, Ps- Jharokhar, Dist- East
Champaran

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Suraj Kumar Tiwari, Adv.

For the Opposite Party/s : Ms.Pushpa Sinha.1, APP

=====

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE CHANDRA PRAKASH
SINGH**

ORAL ORDER

2 14-07-2025 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
A.P.P for the State.

2. The petitioner has preferred this application for grant of regular bail in connection with Darpa P.S. Case No. 64 of 2024 dated 30.04.2024 registered for the offences punishable u/ss 412, 413 and 414 read with section 34 of the Indian Penal Code and Sections 25 (1B)(a)/26 and 35 of the Arms Act.

3. As per the prosecution case, Rs. 75,000/- Nepali currency, one gold ring, one Splender motorcycle, one country made pistol and two live cartridges were recovered from the house of the co-accused, Mantu Jaiswal who was apprehended from the house of the co-accused, Dilip Kumar and he disclosed that he along with the co-accused, Bhulan Mahto, Guddu Kumar



(petitioner), Arjun Paswan, Raj Kumar, and Dilip Kumar looted Nepali currency from Nobil Bank.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the petitioner is innocent and has falsely been implicated in this case. Nothing has been recovered from the conscious possession of the petitioner. The petitioner is not involved in loot of the said Bank and he has no concern with the alleged offence. The charge-sheet has been submitted against the petitioner. The co-accused person has already been granted regular bail by this court vide order dated 29.11.2024 passed in Cr. Misc. No. 69265/2024. The petitioner has one criminal antecedent in which he is on bail as stated in para 3 of the bail petition. The petitioner is in custody since 23.12.2024 as stated in para 4 of the bail petition.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State has vehemently opposed the bail petition of the petitioner.

6. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case and the nature of allegation levelled against the petitioner as well as the period of custody, the petitioner above-named, is directed to be enlarged on bail on furnishing bail-bond of Rs.20,000/- (Rupees Twenty Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned Court



concerned, East Champaran at Motihari in connection with
Darpa P.S. Case No. 64 of 2024.

7. The application stands allowed.

(Chandra Prakash Singh, J)

Gautam/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

