

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.31150 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-219 Year-2020 Thana- SHAHPUR PATORI District- Samastipur

Ajay Kumar @ Ajay Ram S/O Baldeo Das Resident of village- Sherpur
Manikpur , PS- Mahua, Dist- Vaishali

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Rajeev Ranjan No. II, Adv.

Mr. Drishti Mohan, Adv.

For the State : Mr. Satyendra Narain Singh, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE HARISH KUMAR
ORAL ORDER

3 20-08-2025 Heard Mr. Rajeev Ranjan No. II, learned Advocate for
the petitioner and Mr. Satyendra Narain Singh, learned
Additional Public Prosecutor for the State.

2. The petitioner apprehends his arrest in connection
with Patori (Mohanpur OP) P.S. Case No. 219 of 2020,
registered for the offences punishable under Sections 363, 366
of the Indian Penal Code.

3. Allegedly the daughter of the informant aged about
18 years was enticed away by the petitioner for the purposes of
unlawful act.

4. Learned Advocate for the petitioner submitted that
the alleged occurrence took place on 17.06.2020 but the present
FIR came to be instituted on 20.06.2020 without there being any



explanation for delay. In fact, on account of some confusion, the present FIR came to be instituted. During the course of investigation it has come that on the mobile of the victim some calls were received but none of the mobiles belong to the petitioner. It is further submitted that the statement of the victim was recorded under Section 164 Cr.P.C. wherein she has categorically stated that her father was in the habit of assaulting her after consuming liquor. On account of the aforesaid reason, she left her house and started residing with one Raushan Kumar after solemnising marriage and she does not want to return to her house; moreover, from the Aadhar Card of the victim, it reveals that she is an adult.

5. On the other hand, learned Advocate for the State vehemently opposed the bail application and submitted that there is specific allegation against the petitioner of enticing away the daughter of the informant.

6. Having considered the submissions set forth by the learned Advocates for the respective parties and taking note of statement of the victim recorded under Section 164 Cr.P.C., coupled with the fact that the victim is a major and solemnised the marriage with one Raushan Kumar as also the delay in lodging of the FIR, let the petitioner abovenamed be released



on bail, in the event of his arrest or surrender before the court below within a period of four weeks from the date of receipt/production of a copy of this order, upon furnishing bail bonds of Rs.10,000/- (ten thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned ACJM-II, Samastipur in connection with Patori (Mohanpur OP) P.S. Case No. 219 of 2020, subject to the conditions laid down in Section 482(2) of the Bharatiya Nagrik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023, with the further condition that one of the bailors shall be the own/close family members of the petitioner.

(Harish Kumar, J)

Anjani/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

