

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.29275 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-56 Year-2025 Thana- MANJHAGARH District- Gopalganj

Parsuram Singh @ Pasuram Singh Son of Late Kashi Nath Singh Resident of
village - Kolhuan, Police Station - Majhagarh, District - Gopalganj

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Subhash Kumar, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Sunil Kumar Pandey, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE KHATIM REZA

ORAL ORDER

2 15-05-2025 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
A.P.P. for the State.

2. The petitioner seeks bail in connection with Majhagarh P. S. Case No. 56 of 2025, dated 16-02-2025 instituted for the offence punishable under Sections 126(2), 115(2), 118(1), 109(1), 303(2), 352 and 3(5) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita.

3.The prosecution case, in short, is that on 15.02.2025, while the informant was on morning walk near the Middle School, it is alleged that the petitioner assaulted him with a sword on his neck, which hit on his head. In the meantime, co-accused Manish Singh assaulted the informant with a *farsa*, also on his head, due to which the informant smeared with blood and fell unconscious on the spot. It is



further alleged that the accused persons snatched a gold chain worth Rs. 70,000/- from the informant and also took cash amounting to Rs. 4,000/- to Rs. 5,000/- from his pocket, which led to the filing of the present FIR.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is innocent and he has been falsely implicated in the present case. It is submitted that although there is a specific allegation against the petitioner of assaulting the informant with a sword, which hit the informant on his head, the injury report, which is annexed as Annexure-2 to the bail petition, does not support this version of the allegation. The informant was medically examined, and the doctor found the following injuries on his person: (i) displaced comminuted fracture of the parietal-temporal bone; (ii) linear undisplaced fracture of the right zygoma, right mastoid bone, and anterior wall of the right mandibular condylar notch; and(iii) (EDH) is noted in the right parietal region, having maximum thickness of 5 mm. The doctor has opined that injuries nos. 2 and 3 are simple in nature, whereas injury no. 1 is grievous in nature, caused by a hard and blunt substance. It is further submitted that the injury report does not support the case of the prosecution that a sharp-edged weapon, namely a sword, was used in the assault. The absence



of any sharp-cut injury on the person of the informant could cast serious doubt on the allegation against the petitioner. It is further submitted that the petitioner has been in custody since 25.03.2025, and apart from the present case, he has only one other criminal case pending against him, in which he is already on bail. The charge sheet has already been submitted in the present case.

5. Learned A.P.P. has opposed the prayer for bail.

6. Having considered the facts and circumstances of the case and submissions of learned counsel for the parties, let the petitioner be released on bail upon furnishing bail bonds of Rs. 10,000/- (ten thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned ACJM VI, Gopalganj, in connection with Majhagarh P. S. Case No. 56 of 2025.

7. The application stands allowed.

(Khatim Reza, J)

shyambihari/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

