

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.29458 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-154 Year-2024 Thana- BABUBARHI District- Madhubani

Draupadi Devi @ Draupati Devi W/o Vinod Kumar Yadav Resident of
village- Ourahi, Ps- Babubarhi, District- Madhubani

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. Pinki Kumari D/o Rajaram Singh R/o vill - Ourahi, P.S.- Babubarhi, Distt.- Madhubani

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner	:	Mr. Prabhakar Thakur, Advocate
For the State	:	Mr. Surendra Prasad Singh, APP
For Opposite Party No. 2:	:	Mr. Subhash Kr. Jha, Advocate

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE PRABHAT KUMAR SINGH
ORAL ORDER

3 15-07-2025 Heard learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner, learned APP appearing on behalf of the State and learned counsel appearing on behalf of the informant/Opposite Party No. 2.

2. The petitioner apprehends her arrest in a case registered for the offence punishable under Sections 341, 323, 376, 504, 506 and 34 of the Indian Penal Code.

3. As per prosecution case, it is alleged that co-accused Arun Yadav sexually abused the informant/Opposite Party No. 2, who is a minor and this petitioner assisted him. It is further alleged that when informant along with her family members went to house of the accused persons to make



complaint, co-accused Jageshwar Yadav assaulted on head of her grandfather by means of iron rod.

4. It is submitted by learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner that petitioner is quite innocent and has committed no offence. Petitioner has falsely been implicated in this case merely because she happens to be mother of co-accused Arun Yadav. It is highly unbelievable that a mother would assist her son in commission of such a heinous offence. Moreover, from bare perusal of the F.I.R. it is apparent that accusation of sexual abuse is against co-accused Arun Yadav. There are general and omnibus allegations against this petitioner. Petitioner is a lady and claims clean antecedents.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State and learned counsel appearing on behalf of the informant/Opposite Party No. 2 have vehemently opposed the prayer for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

6. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, nature of accusation and clean antecedents of the petitioner, the prayer for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner is allowed.

7. Accordingly, in the event of arrest/surrender within a period of eight weeks from today, let the above named



petitioner be enlarged on bail on furnishing bail-bond of Rs. 10,000/- (ten thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned Addl. Sessions Judge-VI-cum-Spl. Judge (POCSO), Madhubani in connection with Babubarhi P.S. Case No. 154 of 2024, subject to condition as laid down under Section 482(2) of the B.N.S.S..

(Prabhat Kumar Singh, J)

shashank/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

