

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.31909 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-97 Year-2024 Thana- Madhubani T District- Purnia

Vikram Kumar S/O Jay Kumar Singh Resident of Lalpur, P.S- Chhatapur,
District- Supaul.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Md Fazle Karim

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Shantanu Kumar

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN KUMAR JHA
ORAL ORDER

2 19-05-2025 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
A.P.P. for the State.

02. In the present case, the petitioner is apprehending his arrest in connection with Madhubani P.S. Case No. 97 of 2024 registered for the alleged offence under Sections 30(a) of Bihar Prohibition & Excise Act, 2016.

03. As per prosecution case, police received information about petitioner and other co-accused persons keeping illicit foreign liquor in their room in the lodge of Meera Rani. A raid was conducted and the police came to identify the room of the petitioner. The room was found locked and when the owner of the lodge came there, the room was opened and from search of the room, 53.50 liters of India made foreign liquor was recovered.



04. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner is innocent and has been falsely implicated in this case. The petitioner has got no concern with the room from where recovery has been made. The petitioner has already vacated the room a month prior to the occurrence. This fact is also clear from the FIR that the police did not find any belonging of the petitioner in the room. Thus, learned counsel submits that there is no recovery of any illicit liquor or any incriminating article from the person or possession of the petitioner. The petitioner is having clean antecedent.

05. Learned A.P.P. for the State opposes the prayer for anticipatory bail.

06. Since there is specific allegation against the petitioner that from his room in a lodge recovery of 53.250 liters of India made foreign liquor was made, I do not think it is a fit case for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner. Hence, his prayer for anticipatory bail is **rejected**.

(Arun Kumar Jha, J)

Ashish/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

