

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.29757 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-186 Year-2024 Thana- CHAUSA District- Madhepura

-
1. Lalita Devi W/o Shyam Mandal Resident of Village- Tirasi Chausa, Ward No. 15, P.S.- Chausa, District- Madhepura
 2. Shyam Mandal S/o Late Gore Mandal Resident of Village- Tirasi Chausa, Ward No. 15, P.S.- Chausa, District- Madhepura
 3. Pappu Mandal S/o Late Bhole Mandal @ Bhola Mandal Resident of Village- Tirasi Chausa, Ward No. 15, P.S.- Chausa, District- Madhepura

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr.Uday Chand Prasad, Adv. Mr.Manoj Kumar, Adv. Mr.Pooja Prasad, Adv.
For the State	:	Mr. J.N. Thakur, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE NAWNEET KUMAR PANDEY

ORAL ORDER

6 28-11-2025 Heard learned counsel for the petitioners as well as the learned APP for the State.

2. The petitioners apprehend their arrest in connection with Chausa P.S. Case No. 186 of 2024, registered for the offences punishable under Sections 328, 304B, 34 of the Indian Penal Code.

3. The marriage of the deceased was solemnized with co-accused Dharendra Madal in the year 2018. Two sons were born out of wedlock of the couple. The allegation is that the family members of the deceased tortured her for non-fulfillment



of demand of dowry of Rs. 5 lakhs. The informant came to know that the accused persons administered poisonous substance to the deceased and committed her murder.

4. The learned counsel for the petitioners has submitted that the petitioners are innocent and have falsely been implicated in this case. Petitioner nos. 1 and 2 are mother-in-law and father-in-law of the deceased respectively whereas petitioner no. 3 is relative of petitioner no. 2. They have no concern with day-to-day affairs of the couple. They live separately from husband of the deceased. The husband of the deceased is already in custody. The deceased died after six years of her marriage.

5. On the other hand, the learned APP for the State Sri J.N. Thakur and the learned counsel for the informant have opposed the prayer for bail.

6. Considering the above-mentioned facts and circumstances, let the petitioners, in the event of their arrest or surrender within four weeks before the learned court below, be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Ten Thousand) each with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned S.D.J.M., Udakishunganj, Madhepura in connection with Chausa P.S. Case No. 186 of 2024, subject to



the conditions as laid down under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.

7. The petitioner shall physically appear before the learned court below on each and every date till framing of the charge.

(Nawneet Kumar Pandey, J)

kundan/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

