

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL APPEAL (SJ) No.1682 of 2025**

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-259 Year-2020 Thana- DUMRA District- Sitamarhi

Dilip Kumar Yadav @ Dilip Ray Son of Saheb Ray Resident of ward no-09,
Resident of village-Methaura, P.S. -Dumra, District-Sitamarhi

... .. Appellant/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. Shushila Devi Wife of Late Ramlal Ray Resident Of Village - Gopnathpur,
Ps- Dumra, Dist- sitamarhi

... .. Respondent/s

Appearance :

For the Appellant/s : Mr. Ranjeet Kumar Mishra, Advocate
For the Respondent/s : None

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE PRABHAT KUMAR SINGH
ORAL ORDER**

2 02-07-2025

1 . Nobody appears on behalf of State .

2. Heard learned counsel for the appellant.

3 . From perusal of Impugned Order dated 21.03.2025 ,
it appears that Cognizance has already been taken U /s 363 , 366
(A) , 376 of the I. P . C and U / s 3 (2) (v) of SC / ST (PoA)
Act and 4, 6, 8 of POCSO Act and as such , the prayer for pre-
arrest bail of the appellant is not maintainable as cognizance has
already been taken by the court below also for the offences
punishable under the SC/ST Act. In this regard, reliance is also
placed in the case of **Bachu Das Vs. State of Bihar and others**,
reported in **(2014) 3 Supreme Court Cases 471**.

3 . In view of the aforesaid pronouncement of law



rendered by Hon’ble the Supreme Court, appellants’ prayer of pre-arrest is refused.

(Prabhat Kumar Singh, J)

Koushik/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

