

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.28362 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-42 Year-2025 Thana- Kadirganj P.S. District- Nawada

-
1. Ram Chandra Chauhan @ Ram Chandra Prasad S/o Late Shyam Lal
Resident of village- Daldalha Jagatpur, P.S.-Kadirganj, District- Nawada
 2. Pradip Prasad @ Pradeep Chauhan S/o Late Shyam Lal Resident of village-
Daldalha Jagatpur, P.S.-Kadirganj, District- Nawada
 3. Sharmila Kumari W/o Ram Chandra Chauhan @ Ram Chandra Prasad
Resident of village- Daldalha Jagatpur, P.S.-Kadirganj, District- Nawada
 4. Ram Chauhan @ Raj Kumar Chauhan S/o Pradip Prasad @ Pradeep
Chauhan Resident of village- Daldalha Jagatpur, P.S.-Kadirganj, District-
Nawada

... .. Petitioners

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party

Appearance :

For the Petitioners : Mr. Arjun Prasad, Advocate.

For the State : Mr. Mithlesh Kumar Khare, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE JITENDRA KUMAR

ORAL ORDER

2 12-05-2025 Heard learned counsel for the petitioners and learned
APP for the State.

2. The present petition has been filed on behalf of the
petitioners, apprehending their arrest, in connection with
Kadirganj P.S. Case No. 42 of 2025 dated 12.02.2025, registered
for the offences punishable under Sections 80(2), 85 and 3(5) of



the B.N.S.

3. As per allegation, the petitioners along with other co-accused has committed dowry death of daughter of the informant.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the Petitioners are innocent and have falsely been implicated in this case. He further submits that the petitioners are other than the husband and they have nothing to do with the alleged offence. He also submits that the allegation against the petitioners is general and omnibus in nature and they are separate in mess and business from the husband of the alleged victim.

5. It is also stated in paragraph no. 2 of the bail petition that the petitioners have not moved this Court earlier either for anticipatory bail or regular one in the instant case.

6. It has further been stated in paragraph no.3 of the petition that the petitioners have no criminal antecedents.

7. Learned APP for the State vehemently opposes the prayer of the Petitioner for bail submitting that the petitioners are close relatives of the husband of the victim who has been done to death on account of inability of her parents to fulfill the illegal demand of dowry. There is also nothing on record to show that the petitioners are separate in mess and business with



the husband of the victim.

8. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case, I am not persuaded to enlarge the petitioner on anticipatory bail.

9. Accordingly, the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioners stands rejected.

(Jitendra Kumar, J)

S.Ali/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

