

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Miscellaneous Jurisdiction Case No.1525 of 2025

In
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.2931 of 2022

=====

Gayatri Kumari alias Gayatri Devi Wife of Shiva Jee Singh, Resident of Mohalla- Bade Telpa, New Basti, P.O. and P.S.- Chapra, District- Saran at Chapra, presently working as an Assistant Teacher, Government Basic School, Mahmmadpur, District- Saran at Chapra.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through Dr. S. Siddharth, the Principal Secretary, Education Department, Govt. of Bihar, Patna.
2. Dr. S. Siddharth, the Principal Secretary, Education Department, Govt. of Bihar, Patna.
3. Smt. Sahila, the Director, Primary Education, Education Department, Govt. of Bihar, Patna.
4. Sri Subhod Kumar Chaudhary, the Director (Administration), Education Department, Government of Bihar, Patna.
5. Sri Vinay Kumar Singh, the Regional Deputy Director of Education, Saran Division, Chapra.

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr.Nikhil Kumar Agrawal, Advocate Ms. Aditi Hansaria, Advocate Mr. Keshav Bhardwaj, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s :		Mr. Sumant Kr. Singh, AC to GA-2

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE HARISH KUMAR
ORAL ORDER

4 19-09-2025 Heard the parties.

2. Two supplementary show cause affidavits have been filed on behalf of opposite party Nos. 3 and 5 respectively with a categorical averment *inter alia* that in compliance with the order of this Court, the petitioner has been accorded promotion (with financial benefit) with effect from 29.06.2016 in the cadre of Subordinate Education Service (Primary Education), by the



order contained in Memo. No. 916 dated 16.09.2025. Copy of the letter has been marked as Annexure-A to the supplementary show cause affidavit filed on behalf of O.P. No.3.

3. Learned advocate for the petitioner submitted that though pursuant to the order aforementioned, the petitioner has been promoted with retrospective effect, but till date she has not been accorded the financial benefit and, moreover, the petitioner is going to retire in the month of January, 2026 and, as such, the petitioner has apprehension that she might be deprived from the financial benefits.

4. The apprehension of the petitioner appears to be unfounded. It is expected that all the financial benefits must be accorded to the petitioner within a reasonable period of eight weeks. In case the same is not paid, the petitioner shall be at liberty to file one page affidavit showing non-compliance of the order. In case such application is filed, the erring officer shall be dealt with sternly.

5. This contempt application is closed with the liberty aforesaid.

(Harish Kumar, J)

K.C.Jha/-

U			
---	--	--	--

