

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.35973 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-188 Year-2024 Thana- DIDARGANJ District- Patna

Ravi Kumar, Male, aged aqbout 26 years, S/O Shashi Bhushan Singh, R/O
Village-Kothiya, Ward No-2/21, PS-Didar Ganj, Dist-Patna
... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar
... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :
For the Petitioner/s : Ms. Nazia Shabah, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Sanjay Kumar Singh, APP
For the Informant : Mr. Jairam Prasad, Advocate

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE KHATIM REZA
ORAL ORDER

3 13-08-2025 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner; learned
Additional Public Prosecutor for the State and learned counsel
for the informant, who has appeared *suo motu*.

2. The petitioner seeks bail in connection with
Didarganj PS Case No.188 of 2024 dated 24.05.2024, instituted
for the offence punishable under Sections 302, 341, 324, 326,
307/34 of the Indian Penal Code.

3. The prosecution case, in brief, is that on the alleged
date of occurrence the petitioner and other named accused
persons surrounded the brother of the informant, Raushan
Kumar @ Niraj and assaulted him by knife and *Gandsa* and
then the informant and his son, Nirala, reached to save him. The
petitioner, who was armed with pistol and knife, along with



Vikash Kumar, Sonu Kumar, Shashi Bhushan Singh, all were armed with deadly weapons attacked upon the brother of the informant, who received serious injuries and succumbed to the same.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that from the FIR itself it is apparent that land dispute is going on between the parties and the petitioner and others have been implicated in this case only to settle the score. Further submission is that there is vague and omnibus allegation against the petitioner. It is also also submitted that in the FIR it is alleged that the petitioner was holding pistol and knife and he also assaulted the deceased, but no firearm injury has been found during postmortem. Lastly, it is submitted that the petitioner is in custody since 12.07.2024 and two cases under the Excise Act are pending against the petitioner.

5. Learned APP as well as learned counsel for the informant has opposed the prayer for bail.

6. Perusal of the postmortem report shows that four external injuries were found on the person of the deceased. There is direct allegation against the petitioner also.

7. Having regard to the nature of allegation which is supported by the postmortem report, I am not inclined to grant



bail to the petitioner at this stage.

8. Accordingly, prayer of the petitioner for grant of bail is rejected for the present.

9. The Trial Court is directed to expedite the trial and take all endeavour to conclude the same as early as possible, preferably, within a period of nine months from the date of receipt/production of a copy of this order.

10. The application stands dismissed.

(Khatim Reza, J)

J. Alam/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

