

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.29796 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-162 Year-2023 Thana- JAMALPUR District- Munger

=====

Pawan Kumar @ Pawan Mandal S/o Meghnath Mandal Resident of Village-
Bari Keshopur, PS- Jamalpur, District- Munger

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Ramchandra Singh, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Mithlesh Kumar Khare, APP

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE PARTHA SARTHY
ORAL ORDER

3 20-06-2025

1. Heard learned counsel for the parties.

2. The petitioner has renewed his prayer for grant of regular bail in connection with Sessions Trial no.33 of 2024, arising out of Jamalpur P.S. Case no.162 of 2023 registered under sections 302, 120B and 34 of the Indian Penal Code and section 27 of the Arms Act.

3. As per the prosecution case, the petitioner is said to have shot the son of the informant leading to his death.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the earlier applications for bail of the petitioner were rejected vide order dated 7.2.2024 passed in Cr. Misc. no.4917 of 2024 and again by order dated 6.9.2024 passed in Cr. Misc. no.48111 of 2024 directing the learned trial Court to expedite the trial and to conclude the same at the earliest preferably within a period of



six months from the date of communication of the order. Learned counsel further submits that the Superintendent of Police, Munger was directed to ensure the appearance of the official witnesses as and when directed by the learned trial Court. Inspite of the petitioner having remained in custody since 29.8.2023, the trial has still not concluded and as per instructions received only two witnesses have been examined on behalf of the prosecution. The petitioner undertakes to cooperate in the trial and to abide by any condition which may be laid by this Court for his release on bail.

5. The application for bail is opposed by learned A.P.P. for the State.

6. A report was called for from the learned trial Court. As per the report received contained in letter dated 4.6.2025 of the Principal District Sessions Judge, Munger, as on the date of the letter/report one out of the seven witnesses named in the charge-sheet was examined on behalf of the prosecution.

7. Having heard learned counsel for the parties and taking into consideration the nature of allegation against the petitioner wherein he is said to have shot the son of the informant leading to his death together with the trial having commenced in the learned trial Court, the Court is not inclined



to enlarge the petitioner on bail and the application is rejected.

8. Learned trial Court is directed to expedite the trial.

(Partha Sarthy, J)

avinash/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

