

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.7642 of 2024

=====

M/s Ganesh Saw Mill Ramjaipal More, Bailey Road, P.S. Rupaspur, District- Patna, a proprietorship firm through its Power of Attorney Holder- Manoj Kumar Sharma, aged about 47 years, son of Late Rajendra Sharma, Resident of Mohalla- Rupaspur, P.S.- Rupaspur, District- Patna.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Principal Secretary, Department of Environment, Forest and Climate change, Government of Bihar, Patna.
2. The Chairman, Bihar State Pollution Control Board, Bihar, Patna.
3. The Principal Chief Conservator of Forest, Bihar, Patna.
4. The District Magistrate, Patna.
5. The Divisional Forest Officer, Patna Forest Division, Patna.
6. The Sub Divisional Officer, Danapur, Patna.
7. The Regional Officer, Bihar State Pollution Control Board, Bihar, Patna.
8. The Ranger, Danapur Range, Danapur, Patna.

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Vinay Mistry, Adv Md. Harun Quareshi, Adv
For the State	:	Mr. Standing Counsel 1
For B.S.P.C.B.	:	Mr. Shivendra Kishore, Sr. Adv Mr. Kumar Ravish, Adv

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH KUMAR VERMA
ORAL ORDER

4 18-02-2025 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner, learned counsel for the State and learned counsel for the Bihar State Pollution Control Board.

2. After some arguments, learned counsel for the petitioner seeks permission to withdraw the petition with the liberty to file a fresh application in accordance with Rule/Law before the Bihar State Pollution Control Board (Respondent no.2) for obtaining no objection for running the saw mill in



question.

3. If the petitioner has filed a fresh application within a period of four weeks from today then the Bihar State Pollution Control Board shall consider the same and dispose of the application of the petitioner in accordance with rule. While deciding the fresh application of the petitioner, the authority shall not take into consideration that earlier the authority has rejected the claim of the petitioner. (The past decision should not influence the present decision-making process.

4. Accordingly, the petition stands disposed of.

(Rajesh Kumar Verma, J)

kiran/-

U			
---	--	--	--

