

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.29209 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-288 Year-2024 Thana- KAKO District- Jehanabad

-
1. Mahendra Yadav S/o- Late Khirhun Yadav Village- Firozi PS- Kako Pali District- Jehanabad
 2. Sushil Yadav S/o- Late Mathur Yadav Village- Firozi PS- Kako Pali District- Jehanabad

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Rakesh Singh

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Shahabuddin Azeem @ S. Azeem

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RUDRA PRAKASH MISHRA

ORAL ORDER

3 19-09-2025 Heard learned counsel for the petitioners and learned APP for the State.

2. The petitioners seek bail in connection with Kako P.S. Case No. 288 of 2024 instituted for the offences under Sections 103(1), 3(5) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023.

3. Prosecution story, in short, is that, the informant's father and one Kashi Prasad were taken by accused persons including the petitioners and later found dead near Arbind Singh's cabin.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioners submitted that petitioners have falsely been implicated in the present case. Petitioners are not named in the F.I.R. The name of the



petitioners transpired in this case on the basis of confessional statement of co-accused Arvind Kumar @ Ladi. Learned counsel for the petitioners submitted that general and omnibus allegation has been made against the accused persons. Learned counsel further contended that except the confessional statement recorded before the police, there is no material to prove the involvement of the petitioners in the alleged offence and, that too, has no evidentiary value in the eye of law. It has been submitted on behalf of the petitioners that the petitioners are in custody since 30.10.2024 and have no criminal antecedent.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State has vehemently opposed the prayer for grant of bail to the petitioners. Learned APP further submitted that co-accused Arbind Kumar @ Ladi has confessed his guilt and also disclosed the involvement of the petitioner in the alleged offence. He further submitted that the prayer for grant of bail to the co-accused persons has already been rejected by this Court vide order dated 23.07.2025 passed in Cr. Misc. No. 22147 of 2024.

6. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case, nature and gravity of offence as also there being ample material against the petitioners to prove their involvement in the commission of double murder, this Court is not inclined to



grant bail to the petitioners.

7. Accordingly, the prayer for grant of bail to the petitioners is, hereby, rejected.

(Rudra Prakash Mishra, J)

Alok Verma/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

