

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.9588 of 2015

1. Ram Bilas Prasad Son of Late Brahamdeo Prasad
2. Laxmi Narayan , Son of Late Lalan Prasad Singh
3. Gopal Krishna Son of Late Lalan Prasad Singh
4. Amrendra Kumar Son of Late Bhagwat Prasad
5. Rajendra Prasad Son of Late Bhagwat Prasad
6. Sadhu Sharan Prasad, Son of Nawal Kishore Prasad
7. Rajendra Prasad Singh Son of Late Munshi Prasad All 1 to 7 are resident of village- Lachhu Bigha Mauza- Damodarpur Baldha, P.O. Mahanandpur, P.S. Nagarnausa, District- Nalanda.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State Of Bihar
2. The Principal Secretary, Revenue and Land Reforms Department , Govt. of Bihar, Patna.
3. The District Magistrate Nalanda
4. The District Land Acquisition Officer, Biharsharif , Nalanda.
5. The Deputy Collector, Land Reforms Hilsa, Nalanda .
6. The Circle Officer, Nagarnausa , Nalanda. null null
7. The Union of India through the General Manager, East Central Railway, Hajipur.
8. The Divisional Railway manager, East Central Railway , Danapur, Patna.
9. The Chief Engineer, East Central Railway, Mahendru, Patna.

... .. Respondent/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Sudhir Kumar, Advocate
For the State	:	Mr. Ajay Behari Sinha, GA-8
For the UOI	:	Mr. Awadhesh Kumar Pandey, Sr. CGC
	:	Mr. Lokesh, Advocate

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV ROY
ORAL ORDER

4 14-07-2025 Heard Mr. Sudhir Kumar, learned counsel for the petitioner and learned counsel representing the State as also learned counsel representing the Union of India.

2. The present petition has been preferred for the



grant of following relief(s):

(i) For issuance of an appropriate writ/writs, order/orders, direction/directions directing and commanding the respondents to pay the compensation amount to the petitioners with statutory interest in lieu of the land acquired under L.A. Case No.04/2014-15 as per Right to Fair Compensation and Transparency in Land Acquisition, Rehabilitation and Resettlement Act, 2013.

(ii) For a direction upon the respondents to furnish the details of calculation of compensation amount.

(iii) For grant of any relief/reliefs the petitioners would be found entitled in the facts and circumstances of the case.

3. A counter affidavit has come according to which paragraph no. 8 and 9, the none of the writ petitioners in response to the notice issued under section 12(2) of the Act either appear or receive the amount of compensation or made any objection whatsoever. It further records that the land has been handed over to the representative of the Railway



Department on 18.06.2015.

4. The counter affidavit was served in the year 2016 and there is no rebuttal.

5. In that background, Mr. Sudhir Kumar, learned counsel for the petitioners submit that the petitioner shall be individually approaching the District Land Acquisition Officer, Nalanda, Bihar Sharif (respondent no.4).

6. If the petitioner(s) approaches the concerned respondent, he is duty bound to take the matter to its logical conclusion at an earliest, as the matter is decade old one.

7. The writ petition stands disposed of with the aforesaid observation.

(Rajiv Roy, J)

vinayak/-

U			
---	--	--	--

