

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.6923 of 2015

1. Krishna Kumar Chaudhary son of Late Vishwanath Choudhary resident of Purvi Dahiyawa Mission Road, P.O.-Sahebganj, P.S. Chhapra Town, District- Saran at Chhapra at present Krishna Kumar Chaudhary C/o. Shri Sanjay Kumar son of Hira Lal Gupta , Village- Bharpura, Post and Police Station- Sonapur, District-Saran at Chhapra
2. Maya Devi wife of Sri Ramjee Chaudhry resident of village-Bharpura, Police Station and Post office- Sonapur, District- Saran at Chhapra.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The Union Of India .
2. The Secretary, Railway Board, New Delhi.
3. The Divisional Railway Manager, Eastern Central Railway, Danapur
4. The General Manager, Eastern Central Railway, Sonapur.
5. The State of Bihar through the Chief Secretary, Govt. of Bihar, Patna
6. The Principal Secretary, Revenue Department, Govt. of Bihar, Patna.
7. Director, Land Acquisition, Bihar, Patna.
8. District Magistrate, Saran at Chapra.
9. Land Acquisition Officer, Saran at Chapra.

... .. Respondent/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr.Atul Chandra, Advocate Mr. Abhash Chandra, Advocate
For the UOI	:	Dr. Amitesh Kumar, Sr. Advocate
For the State	:	Mr. Ajay Bihari Sinha, G. A.18 Ms. Kalpana, AC to G.A.-18

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV ROY
ORAL ORDER

3 14-07-2025 Heard the parties.

2. The present petition has been preferred for the following relief/s:

(i) respondents concerned be directed to pay appropriate compensation at new rate as provided under the Land Acquisition Act as



well as right to fair compensation and transparency in Land Acquisition Rehabilitation and Resettlement Act, 2013 herein after to be referred as the Act;

(ii) respondents concerned be directed to provide due provision for rehabilitation and resettlement of the petitioners and cost of entire infrastructure made. Thereon by petitioners be paid;

(iii) respondents be further directed to provide job/employment to one member of the family of each petitioners, who have lost their house and occupation besides the source of livelihood on account of acquisition of their house;

(iv) further the Respondents be directed to grant relief prayed for above during the pendency of this writ application as an interim order;

(v) any other relief or reliefs to which the petitioners be found entitled to be granted to them.



3. Mr. Abhash Chandra, learned counsel for the petitioner in the presence of learned State counsel submits that the lawyer conducting the case is no more and in that background, the heirs be granted liberty to approach afresh, if he/she/they so want/wants.

4. Learned State Counsel submits that the matter on the basis of counter affidavit, is fit to be dismissed.

5. Be that as it may, since the petitioner has prayed withdrawal of the petition, granting said liberty, the writ petition is disposed of.

6. Pending Interlocutory Application(s), if any also stand(s) disposed of.

(Rajiv Roy, J)

Ravi/-

U			
---	--	--	--

