

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.33597 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-824 Year-2024 Thana- BIHAR District- Nalanda

Md. Meraj Son of Md. Teju @ Md. Tajo Uddin Resident of Chajju Nasirpur
Bagicha, P.S.- Bihar Sharif (Bihar), District - Nalanda

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Sanjay Kumar, Adv.

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Ram Sevak Choudhary, APP

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RUDRA PRAKASH
MISHRA**

ORAL ORDER

3 11-07-2025 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
APP for the State. Perused the case diary.

2. The petitioner seeks bail in connection with G.R.
No. 7022 of 2024, arising out of Bihar Sharif (Bihar) P.S. Case
No. 824 of 2024, instituted for the offences punishable under
Section 309(4), 310(2), 317(2) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita,
2023.

3. The prosecution case, in short, is that, some
unknown miscreants entered into the house of the informant at
night and on the point of pistol looted cash, jewellery and other
articles from his house.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the
petitioner is innocent and has committed no offence as alleged



against him and has falsely been implicated in the present case due to dirty village politics. The petitioner has not committed any offence as alleged in the F.I.R. He further submits that nothing incriminating/looted article has been recovered from the conscious/physical possession of the petitioner. The petitioner is not named in the F.I.R. and his name has surfaced in this case on the basis of the confessional statement of the co-accused. No T.I. Parade has been conducted in this case. The petitioner has one criminal antecedent in which he is on bail and is languishing in judicial custody since 22.11.2024 without any rhymes or reason.

5. Learned counsel for the petitioner again submits that the co-accused namely Mukhiya @ Rajpal Kumar has been granted bail by this Court vide order dated 07.04.2025 passed in Cr. Misc. No. 18943 of 2025.

6. On the other hand, learned A.P.P. for the State has vehemently opposed the prayer for grant of bail to the petitioner, stating that the offence alleged against the petitioner is serious in nature.

7. Having heard rival contention of both the parties and considering the entire facts and circumstances of the case, the period of custody of the petitioner and the prayer for bail



being based on parity, let the petitioner, abovenamed, be released on bail on furnishing bail bonds of Rs.10,000/- (Ten thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of Court below/concerned Court in connection with G.R. No. 7022 of 2024, arising out of Bihar Sharif (Bihar) P.S. Case No. 824 of 2024.

(Rudra Prakash Mishra, J)

rishi/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

