

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.8352 of 2025

Manju Kumari, aged about 58 years (Female), W/o- Raj Kumar Prasad, Joint Secretary, Bihar Rajya Sahayika and Karmchari Sangh, Resident of Bageshwar Road, Powerganj, Near S.K. Electric Central School, Gate No.- 2, P.S.- Delha, District- Gaya, Bihar- 823001.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The Union of India through its Principal Secretary, Women and Child and Social Development Department, Government of India, New Delhi-110011.
2. The State of Bihar, through the Chief Secretary, Government of Bihar, Patna.
3. The Director, Directorate of Social Welfare, Govt. of Bihar, Patna.
4. The Director, Integrated Child Development Scheme, Indira Bhawan, Ramcharitra Singh Path, Patna-800001.
5. The Joint Secretary, Social Welfare Department, Government of Bihar, Patna.

... .. Respondent/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Ms. Roona Mr. Sanjay Kumar Mr. Pratiyush Kumar Mr. Y. Madhav
For the Respondent/s	:	Advocate General Mr. Arun Kumar Satyamurti, CGC, UOI

CORAM: HONOURABLE THE ACTING CHIEF JUSTICE
and
HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ALOK KUMAR SINHA
ORAL ORDER
(Per: HONOURABLE THE ACTING CHIEF JUSTICE)

2 12-09-2025 In the instant writ petition, petitioner has prayed for
the following reliefs :

“(i) For issuance of an order, direction or appropriate writ commanding the Respondents to impart proper training to Anganbari Sevika before introduction of “Take Home Ration” (THR) through face recognition system.

(ii) For a direction upon the Respondents to provide technical support with required electronic devices with such



configuration capable of uploading the App for the purpose of facial recognition system, verification, facial authentication and for generation of OTP for distribution of Take Home Ration (THR) to the beneficiaries by facial recognition technology which has been introduced under the scheme and policy of the Respondents.

(iii) Any other order / orders for which the petitioner is found entitled to in the facts and circumstances of the present case.”

2. Undisputedly, petitioner – *Manju Kumari* is a *Anganbari Sevika*. She wanted certain improvement in the system, therefore, she has filed the present public interest litigation. In this regard, there is no representation or demand before the concerned authority on behalf of the petitioner. That apart, petitioner has some personal interest insofar as reliefs sought in the present writ petition. On these counts, the petitioner has not made out a case. Hence, the present writ petition stands dismissed.

(P. B. Bajanthri, ACJ)

(Alok Kumar Sinha, J)

GAURAV S./-

U			
---	--	--	--

