

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.30137 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-143 Year-2024 Thana- Kharagpur District- Munger

=====

Anil Das, S/o Late Ishwar Das, R/o Village- Parsando, P.S.- Kharagpur,
District- Munger

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Niranjan Parihar, Advocate
For the State	:	Mr. Suresh Prasad Singh, APP
For the Informant	:	Mr. Ajay Kumar Jha, Advocate

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ASHOK KUMAR PANDEY
ORAL ORDER

2 19-05-2025 Heard Mr. Niranjan Parihar, learned counsel for the

petitioner, Mr. Ajay Kumar Jha, learned counsel for the

informant and Mr. Suresh Prasad Singh, learned APP for the

State.

2. The petitioner has prayed for bail in connection
with Kharagpur P.S. Case No. 143 of 2024 registered for the
offence punishable under Sections 341, 323, 324, 307, 302,
504, 147, 148, 149 and 120(B) of the Indian Penal Code.

3. The case of the prosecution is that the petitioner
along with others have some trivial dispute between the
parties, arrived and stopped the husband of the informant from
making Bhushari. It is also alleged that Dashrath Das called
his family members, after that, the family members arrived and



assaulted the husband of the informant badly, due to which he died during treatment.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is innocent and has committed no offence. He has falsely been implicated in this case. He has got no criminal antecedent. It is also submitted that the nature of allegation is general and omnibus and from perusal of the postmortem report it transpires that the deceased was having only one stitch wound. There is allegation that all the accused persons who are ten in number have assaulted the deceased with *lathi-danda* but only one injury was found on the person of the deceased. The allegations does not co-relate with the ante-mortem injuries of the deceased. Similarly situated other co-accused persons have already been granted bail by this Court vide order dated 26.03.2025 in Cr. Misc. No. 81947 of 2024. Moreover, the petitioner is languishing in judicial custody since 05.02.2025.

5. Learned APP appearing for the state and learned counsel for the informant have opposed the prayer of regular bail.

6. Having heard learned counsel for the parties and considering the facts and circumstances of the case, this court



is inclined to enlarge the petitioner on bail. The above named petitioner is directed to be released on bail in connection with Kharagpur P.S. Case No. 143 of 2024 on furnishing bail bond of Rs.10,000/- (ten thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned ADJ-II, Munger.

(Ashok Kumar Pandey, J)

lata/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

