

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.27753 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-132 Year-2024 Thana- KARJAIN District- Supaul

Satish Mehta @ Satish Kumar, S/o Rajesh Mehta @ Rajesh Kumar, R/o Vill.- Jagdishpur, Ward No.- 05, P.S.- Karjain, Distt.- Supaul

... .. Petitioner

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. Narayan Kumar Ram, S/o Baijnath Ram, R/o Vill.- Padumnagar, Ward No.- 02, P.S.- Karjain, Distt.- Supaul

... .. Opposite Parties

Appearance :

For the Petitioner	:	Mr. Dhananjay Kumar Tiwary, Advocate
For the State	:	Mr. Binay Krishna, A.P.P.

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE CHANDRA PRAKASH SINGH

ORAL ORDER

2 10-07-2025 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned A.P.P. for the State.

2. The petitioner seeks bail in connection with Karjain P.S. Case No. 132 of 2024 dated 03.09.2024 registered for the offences punishable under Sections 126(2), 127(2), 115(2), 76, 78 read with Section 3(5) of the B.N.S., 2023, Section 67 of the I.T. Act, Section 8 of the POCSO Act, Sections 3(1)(r), 3(1)(w) of the SC/ST Act.

3. As per the prosecution case, the informant alleged that the marriage was fixed with the lady but due to economic condition, the in-laws family requested for further time. In the meantime, the allegation is that the accused persons forced him



to call the lady, made them to undress, nude videos were made by the accused, got them paraded to home and forcibly got them married. Later, the videos were put on social electronic media, completely lowering the image of the two victims as also their family members. This led to the F.I.R.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the petitioner is innocent and has falsely been implicated in the present case. It is further submitted that there is general and omnibus allegation against the petitioner and ten other co-accused persons. There is no specific allegation against the petitioner. It is further submitted that the petitioner has been made accused in the present case because he had participated in forceful marriage of the informant who was in love with the victim girl. There is no any role of the petitioner in the alleged crime. The petitioner is not involved either in making the alleged video or spreading the same on social media. The other co-accused, person, Ganesh Mehta @ Ganesh Kumar Mehta, has already been granted bail by a Bench of this Court in Cr. Misc. No. 8415 of 2025 vide order dated 08.04.2025, annexed as Annexure-P/2 to the present bail petition. The petitioner has clean antecedent as stated in paragraph no. 3 of the bail application. The petitioner is in custody in this case since



14.11.2024.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State has vehemently opposed the prayer for bail petition of the petitioner.

6. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case as well the period of custody, let the above named petitioner, be enlarged on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 20,000/- (Rupees Twenty Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned District and Additional Sessions Judge-cum-Special Judge POCSO Act, Supaul in connection with Karjain P.S. Case No. 132 of 2024.

7. The application stands allowed.

(Chandra Prakash Singh, J)

U.K./-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

