

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.27939 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-287 Year-2024 Thana- BEGUSARAI MUFFASIL District-
Begusarai

=====

Ramanand Yadav S/o Late Sitaram Yadav R/o Village- Kankaul, Ward No.-
16 (Chilmil), P.S.- Muffasil, District- Begusarai

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Ajay Kumar Thakur , Advocate Mr. Ritwik Thakur , Advocate Mrs. Vaishnavi Singh, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s :		Mr. Renu Kumari , APP

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE PRABHAT KUMAR SINGH
ORAL ORDER

2 07-05-2025 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and the

State .

2. Petitioner apprehends his arrest in a case registered
for the offence punishable under sections 109 (1) , 308 (4) ,
3(5) of BNS and 27 of Arms Act.

3 . The prosecution case in brief, is that on the alleged
date and time of occurrence , while informant was at his land, in
the meantime two motorcycles came in front of him and all the
accused persons armed with pistols in their hand got down
thereafter it is alleged that co-accused Lal Mohammad fired
bullet which hit right shoulder of informant and other accused
persons also fired upon informant in which one bullet hit his
right abdomen and another bullet passed near his stomach . It is



further alleged that accused persons also demanded ransom of Rs. 15 lakh from informant and threatened him with dire consequences.

4. It is submitted on behalf of the petitioners that petitioner is not named in the F.I.R., and he is own father-in-law of informant. It is a specific case of the informant that co-accused Lal Mohhamad and three unknown persons who fired upon informant. After, 10 days of the alleged occurrence a protest petition was filed by the informant in which it is stated that this petitioner along with other co-accused persons conspired and got the occurrence committed. At best, petitioner is only alleged to be conspirator. Petitioner is in no way connected with the alleged occurrence .

5 . Learned counsel for the State opposed the bail petition .

6 Considering the fact that petitioner is not named in the F.I.R., and other circumstances of the case , in the event of arrest or surrender within eight weeks from today, let the petitioner, as named above, be enlarged on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs.10,000/-(ten thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned Chief Judicial Magistrate Begusarai in connection with Muffasil P .S.



Case No. 287 of 2024 , subject to the conditions laid down
under section 482(2) of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha
Sanhita , 2023 .

(Prabhat Kumar Singh, J)

Koushik/-

U			
---	--	--	--

