

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.28751 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-132 Year-2024 Thana- KARJAIN District- Supaul

Krishna Das S/o Baijnath Das R/o Village- Karjain, Ward No. 16, P.S.-
Karjain, District- Supaul

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar Bihar
2. Narayan Kumar Ram S/o Baijnath Ram R/o Village- Padumnagar, Ward No. 02, P.S.- Karjain, District- Supaul

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Dhananjay Kumar Tiwary

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Binay Krishna

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE CHANDRA PRAKASH SINGH

ORAL ORDER

2 10-07-2025 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
A.P.P for the State.

2. The petitioner has preferred this application for grant of regular bail in connection with Karjain P.S. Case No. 132 of 2024 dated 03.09.2024 registered for the offences punishable u/ss 126(2), 127(2), 115(2), 76, 78 read with section 3(5) of the BNS, section 67 of the IT Act and sections 3(1)(r), 3(1) (w) of the SC/ST Act.

3. As per the prosecution case, the informant alleged that the marriage was fixed with the lady but due to economic condition, the in-laws family requested for further time. In the meantime, the allegation is that the accused persons forced him



to call the lady, made them to undress, nude videos were made by the accused, got them paraded to home and forcibly got them married. Later, the videos were put on social electronic media, completely lowering the image of the two victims and also their family members. This led to the FIR.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the petitioner is innocent and has falsely been implicated in this case. There is no any role of the petitioner in the alleged crime. The petitioner is not involved either in making the alleged video or spreading the same on social media. The petitioner has no criminal antecedent as stated in para 3 of the bail petition. The petitioner is in custody since 14.11.2024. Similarly situated co-accused has already been granted bail by the co-ordinate bench of this court vide order dated 22.03.2025 passed in Cr. Misc. No. 12203 of 2025.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State has vehemently opposed the bail petition of the petitioner.

6. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case as well as the period of custody, the petitioner above-named, is directed to be enlarged on bail on furnishing bail-bond of Rs.20,000/- (Rupees Twenty Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned Court



concerned, Supaul in connection with Karjain P.S. Case No. 132
of 2024.

7. The application stands allowed.

(Chandra Prakash Singh, J)

guddukr/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

