

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA**  
**CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.27281 of 2025**

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-75 Year-2010 Thana- GOVERNMENT OFFICIAL COMP.  
District- Bhojpur

=====

Dharma Yadav @ Dhram Raj Singh @ Dharma Jadav Son of Late  
Bhuneshwar Singh Resident of Village- Gorpokhar, P.S.- Udwant Nagar,  
District- Bhojpur

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

**Appearance :**

|                            |   |                           |
|----------------------------|---|---------------------------|
| For the Petitioner/s       | : | Mr. Ataul Haque, Advocate |
|                            | : | Ms. Phool Jahan, Advocate |
| For the Opposite Party/s : |   | Mr. Bharat Bhushan, APP   |

=====

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV ROY**  
**ORAL ORDER**

2      06-05-2025                      Heard Ms. Phool Jahan, learned counsel for the  
  
petitioner and Mr. Bharat Bhushan, learned APP for the State.

2. The petitioner is apprehending his arrest in connection with Excise P.S. Case No. 75 of 2010 for the offence under section 47A of the Bihar Excise Act, lodged on 23.09.2010.

3. As per the prosecution story, the police upon information reached near the river and from its bank recovered/seized 50 litres of country made liquor along with manufacturing material. This led to the FIR.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that he went out of State for livelihood and had no knowledge about



implication and this led to delay coming to the Court.

5. Learned APP opposes the prayer submitting that it is 2010 matter for which the anticipatory bail application has been filed.

6. Taking into account the submissions of the parties as also that though the recovery/seizure is from an open place, the 15 years delay in coming to the Court is making it difficult to extend the relief. It would be appropriate that petitioner seeks bail.

7. The anticipatory bail stands rejected with direction that if petitioner surrenders and prefers bail in next four weeks, the Court concerned shall see to it that the recovery/seizure is not from his personal possession while deciding the bail application, preferably on the same day.

**(Rajiv Roy, J)**

anand/-

|   |  |   |  |
|---|--|---|--|
| U |  | T |  |
|---|--|---|--|

