

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.32557 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-12 Year-2021 Thana- PARASBIGHA District- Jehanabad

Pappu Sharma @ Amitabh Ranjan @ Amitav Ranjan S/o Late Surendra
Sharma R/o Village- Sendhwan, P.S.- Parasbigha, District- Jehanabad.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar.

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Manoj Kumar, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Madan Kumar, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE CHANDRA SHEKHAR JHA
ORAL ORDER

5 19-09-2025 Heard learned counsel appearing on behalf of the

petitioner and learned APP appearing on behalf of the State.

2. The petitioner seeks bail in connection with

Parasbigha P.S. Case No. 12/2021, registered for the offence

under Section 302/34 of the Indian Penal Code and Sections

25(1-b)a, 27 and 35 of the Arms Act.

3. The accused/petitioner is not named in the F.I.R. and

is in custody since 06.08.2024.

4. As per FIR, five named co-accused persons opened

fire upon the wife of the informant, leading her death.

5. Learned Counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner

submitted that the informant claimed to be an eye-witness of the

occurrence and he named five named accused persons as to

involve in the occurrence of murder. It is submitted that the

specific allegation of causing fatal firing is available against co-



accused, namely, Ramnath Sharma and Gopal Sharma. It is pointed out that petitioner was not even named but during course of investigation out of police atrocities as the petitioner made complaint against police officials of police station accepting bribe, the present false allegation was raised against him. It is submitted that during investigation nothing transpired incriminating against petitioner but as petitioner found involved in 11 more criminal cases, prior to the occurrence, where he is on bail, out of suspicion arising out of said criminal antecedents, the petitioner falsely implicated with present case also due to aforesaid discussed police atrocities. While concluding the argument, it is submitted that investigation of this case is already completed, for which charge-sheet has been submitted, as such, there is no chance of tampering with the evidence.

6. Learned APP opposed the prayer of bail.

7. Considering the aforesaid factual submissions and by taking note of fact as petitioner being accused was not named by the informant while lodging FIR, being an eye-witness of the occurrence, coupled with the fact that investigation of this case is already completed, where petitioner remains in custody since 06.08.2024, accordingly, petitioner above named, is directed to be released on bail in connection with Parasbigha P.S. Case No.



12/2021, on furnishing bail bond of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned Judicial Magistrate 1st Class, Jehanabad/concerned Court, subject to the conditions as mentioned under Section 437(3) of the Cr.P.C./Section 480(3) of BNSS.

(Chandra Shekhar Jha, J)

veena/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

